


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE, INC., a California corporation,	)	Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER REGARDING REQUEST FOR
	)	SEALING RELATED TO BORIS
v.	)	TEKSLER
	)	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	)	
Korean corporation; SAMSUNG	)	
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York	)	
corporation; SAMSUNG	)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,	)	
a Delaware limited liability company,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

Consistent with this Court’s Order Regarding Sealing Motions, the Court finds that the compensation terms of the licensing agreement is properly sealable as Apple and IBM have established “compelling reasons” for its sealing. *See* ECF No. 1649 (citing *Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006)). However, also consistent with this Court’s Order Regarding Sealing Motions, the duration term of the license is not properly sealable. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS in part IBM and Apple’s request to seal portions of DX2592 and DX2593. The information designated by Apple may be sealed, except for section 7.1 of DX2592, which discloses the duration term of the license.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2 Dated: August 10, 2012



LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California