	Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Docume	nt 585 Filed 11/18/12 Page 1 of 10
		HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		ATES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF WASHINGTON
8	AT S	EATTLE
9 10	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	
10	Plaintiff,	Case No. C10-1823-JLR
11	vs.	PLAINTIFF MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S MOTION TO
12	MOTOROLA, INC., et al.,	QUASH SUBPOENA OF JONATHAN CARUANA
13	Defendants.	
15	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, et al.,	NOTED FOR: Monday, November 19, 2012
16	Plaintiffs,	
17	vs.	
18	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	PLAINTIFF MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OF JONATHAN CARUANA	LAW OFFICES CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP 999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104 TEL, (206) 623-1700 FAX, (206) 623-8717

Microsoft hereby moves to quash Motorola's trial subpoena to Jonathan Caruana, a Microsoft employee that Motorola had not previously identified as a potential witness.

### BACKGROUND

At about 3:30 PM on Friday, November 16, 2012, the parties and the Court discussed 4 the timing of Motorola's disclosure of its witness list for Monday, November 19, in light of the 5 fact that Motorola had identified 12 remaining witnesses but had only a limited amount of trial 6 7 time remaining. The parties and the Court discussed the possibility of Motorola dropping witnesses in the interests of time, and the Court stated that it expected that Motorola would 8 promptly notify Microsoft when it had decided that any witness would not be called. With no 9 10 advance notice or explanation, at 5:41 PM on Friday (just two hours later), Motorola's counsel sent Microsoft's counsel an email with an attached trial subpoena for a 13th witness, Microsoft 11 employee Jonathan James Caruana, commanding him to appear at trial on Monday, November 12 19 at 9:00 AM. See Ex. A.<sup>1</sup> The subpoena issued from the Western District of Washington. 13 14 Id.

## 15

1

2

3

### ARGUMENT

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 states that an issuing court "must quash or modify a 16 subpoena that fails to allow a reasonable time to comply." Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(3)(A)(i). 17

18 The subpoena should be quashed because it fails to allow a reasonable time to comply. Motorola sent the subpoena to Microsoft after the close of the business day on Friday, 19 demanding Mr. Caruana's appearance at trial at 9 AM Monday. There is no justification for 20 21 this eleventh-hour demand for Mr. Caruana's testimony. Mr. Caruana was not on Motorola's trial witness list, see Dkt. No. 493, Pretrial Order at 16–19, nor was he on Microsoft's, id. at 22 23 12–16. Motorola is well aware of Mr. Caruana's knowledge of the Xbox—on June 24, 2011,

24 25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The subpoena is directed to "Jonathon James Caruana." Microsoft assumes Motorola's intention was to subpoena Microsoft employee Jonathan James Caruana.

Motorola took his deposition in connection with Motorola's ITC case against the Xbox, and 1 cross-examined him in the ITC trial on January 12, 2012. But Motorola gave Microsoft no 2 3 notice that it had any intention of calling Mr. Caruana until the subpoena arrived, two-thirds of 4 the way through this trial, far too late for Microsoft to meaningfully prepare for Mr. Caruana's examination. Indeed, because Motorola refused to drop even a single witness by 4:30 PM on 5 Saturday, Microsoft is currently preparing for cross-examinations of 12 witnesses that 6 7 Motorola claims it will call across two days. Motorola provided neither Mr. Caruana nor 8 Microsoft any reasonable amount of time to prepare to testify in this trial. The fact that Mr. 9 Caruana was both deposed and cross-examined by Motorola in a related proceeding involving 10 the same issues makes Motorola's tardy subpoena to Mr. Caruana all the more inexcusable.

The subpoena to Mr. Caruana is untimely, improper in light of the Court's pretrial 11 order, and unjustifiable. Motorola had access to Mr. Caruana long before this trial began-if it 12 13 believed him an important witness, its obligation was to identify him in a timely fashion. 14 Motorola ignored him until after the close of the fourth of six days of trial. His introduction into the case now would prejudice Microsoft as both a distraction and a waste of time. Further, 15 assuming that Motorola intends to examine Mr. Caruana concerning his knowledge of 16 17 confidential and commercially-sensitive aspects of the Xbox 360, that examination would 18 require either disclosure of Microsoft's confidential information, or closing and re-opening the courtroom, wasting even more of the limited remaining trial time. The subpoena to Mr. 19 Caruana should be quashed. 20

- 21 /// 22 ////
- 23 ||///

///

- 24
- 25

PLAINTIFF MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OF JONATHAN CARUANA - 2

	Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 585 Filed 11/18/12 Page 4 of 10
1	DATED this 18th day of November, 2012.
2	CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP
3	
4	By <u>s/Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr.</u>
5	Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., WSBA #1751 Christopher Wion, WSBA #33207
6	Shane P. Cramer, WSBA #35099
7	By <u>s/ T. Andrew Culbert</u> T. Andrew Culbert
	David E. Killough
8	MICROSOFT CORPORATION
9	1 Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052
10	Phone: 425-882-8080
11	Fax: 425-869-1327
	David T. Pritikin
12	Richard A. Cederoth Constantine L. Trela, Jr.
13	William H. Baumgartner, Jr.
14	Ellen S. Robbins
14	Douglas I. Lewis
15	David C. Giardina John W. McBride
16	David Greenfield
10	Nathaniel C. Love
17	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
18	One South Dearborn
19	Chicago, IL 60603
19	Phone: 312-853-7000 Fax: 312-853-7036
20	
21	Carter G. Phillips Brian R. Nester
22	SIDLEV AUSTINUUD
23	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street NW
23	Washington, DC 20005
24	Telephone: 202-736-8000
25	Fax: 202-736-8711
	Counsel for Microsoft Corp.
	PLAINTIFF MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OF LAW OFFICES
	JONATHAN CÂRUANA - 3 999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104 TEL, (206) 623-1700 FAX, (206) 623-8717

	Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 585 Filed 11/18/12 Page 5 of 10
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I, SUSIE CLIFFORD, swear under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
3	Washington to the following:
4	1. I am over the age of 21 and not a party to this action.
5	2. On the 18th day of November, 2012, I caused the preceding document to be
6	served on counsel of record in the following manner:
7	Attorneys for Motorola Solutions, Inc., and Motorola Mobility, Inc.:
8	Ralph Palumbo, WSBA #04751
9	Philip S. McCune, WSBA #21081 Messenger
10	Lynn M. Engel, WSBA #21934   US Mail     Summit Law Group   Facsimile
11	315 Fifth Ave. South, Suite 1000XECFSeattle, WA 98104-2682X
12	Telephone: 206-676-7000 Email: <u>Summit1823@summitlaw.com</u>
13	
14	Steven Pepe (pro hac vice)MessengerJesse J. Jenner (pro hac vice)US Mail
15	Ropes & Gray LLP Facsimile
16	1211 Avenue of the Americas   X   ECF     New York, NY 10036-8704
17	Telephone: (212) 596-9046 Email: <u>steven.pepe@ropesgray.com</u>
18	Email: jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com
19	Norman H. Beamer ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Messenger
20	Ropes & Gray LLP US Mail   1900 University Avenue, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor Facsimile
21	East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284
22	Email: <u>norman.beamer@ropesgray.com</u>
23	
24	
25	
	PLAINTIFF MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OF JONATHAN CARUANA - 4

	Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 585 Filed 11/18/12 Page 6 of 10
1	Paul M. Schoenhard (pro hac vice) Messenger   Ropes & Gray LLP US Mail
2	One Metro CenterFacsimile700 12 <sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 900XECF
3	Washington, DC 20005-3948
4	Telephone: (202) 508-4693 Email: <u>Paul.schoenhard@ropesgray.com</u>
5	DATED this 18 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2012.
6	
7	s/Susie Clifford
8	SUSIE CLIFFORD
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	PLAINTIFF MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OF JONATHAN CARUANA - 5 LAW OFFICES CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES, LLP 999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104 TEL, (206) 623-1700 FAX, (206) 623-8717

Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 585 Filed 11/18/12 Page 7 of 10

# EXHIBIT A

AO 88 (Rev. 07/10) Subpoena to Appear and Testify at a Hearing or Trial in a Civil Action

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

for the

)

)

Western District of Washington

MICROSOFT CORPORATION Plaintiff v. MOTOROLA, INC., et al.

Defendanı

Civil Action No. C10-1823-JLR

### SUBPOENA TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY AT A HEARING OR TRIAL IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Jonathon James Caruana

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States district court at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a hearing or trial in this civil action. When you arrive, you must remain at the court until the judg e or a court officer allows you to leave.

Place: United States District Court 700 Stewart Street Seattle, WA 98101	Courtroom No.: 14106 Date and Time: 11/19/2012 9:00 am
--	---

You must also bring with you the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects (blank if not applicable):

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are attached.

Date: 11/16/2012

CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

OR brnev's sig

The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Motorola, Inc., Motorola

Mobility LLC and General Instrument Corp. , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Ralph H. Palumbo, WSBA #04751, Philip S. McCune, WSBA #21081, Lynn M. Engel, WSBA #21934 ralphh@summitlaw.com; philm@summitlaw.com; lynne@summitlaw.com Summit Law Group PLLC, 315 Fifth Avenue S., Suite 1000 Seattle, WA 98104-2682, (206) 676-7000

	823-JLR		
	PROOF OF	SERVICE	
(This se	ction should not be filed with the co	urt unless required by Fed. R. (	Civ. P. 45.)
This subpoena fo	Dr (name of individual and title, if any) Jo	enathon James Caruana	
s received by me on (a	late)		
I served the s	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the	named person as follows:	
		on (date)	; or
I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
			In the amount of
\$54.30	vitness fees for one day's attendance,	for services, for a tota	
\$54.30 7 fees are \$	)	for services, for a tota	
\$54.30 / fees are \$ I declare under p	) for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	
\$54.30 fees are \$ I declare under p	) for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	
\$ 54.30 y fees are \$	) for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	al of \$0.00
\$54.30 fees are \$ I declare under p	) for travel and \$	for services, for a totan is true.	al of \$0.00

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

### AO 88 (Rev 06/09) Subpoena to Appear and Testify at a Hearing or Trial in a Civil Action (page 3)

### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

### (c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

### (d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

### (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).