

# Exhibit 20

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
a Korean corporation;  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
a New York corporation;  
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
AMERICA, LLC,  
a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendants.

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK

**AMENDED**  
VERDICT FORM

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
a Korean corporation;  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
a New York corporation;  
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
AMERICA, LLC,  
a Delaware limited liability company,

Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC., a California corporation,

Counterclaim-Defendant.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

1 Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung  
 2 Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade  
 3 dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

4 Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
5 Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)			
6 Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)			

7  
 8  
 9 *If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Question 20, please skip to Question 22, and do not answer Question 21.*

10  
 11 21. If you answered "Yes" to any of Question 20, and thus found that any Samsung entity  
 12 has infringed Apple's unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress, has Apple proven by a  
 13 preponderance of the evidence that the Samsung entity's infringement was willful?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

14 Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
15 Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress			

16 **DAMAGES TO APPLE FROM SAMSUNG (IF APPLICABLE)**

17  
 18  
 19 22. What is the total dollar amount that Apple is entitled to receive from Samsung on the  
 20 claims on which you have ruled in favor of Apple?

21 \$ ~~1,051,855,000.00~~  
 22 \$ 1,049,393, ~~293~~ 540  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

ATC 8/24/12

United States District Court  
 For the Northern District of California

23. For the total dollar amount in your answer to Question 22, please provide the dollar breakdown by product.

Accused Samsung Product	Amount
Captivate (JX 1011)	80,840,162
Continuum (JX 1016)	16,399,117
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	50,672,869
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	130,180,894
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	1,081,820
Fascinate (JX 1013)	143,539,179
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	0
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	57,867,383
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	0
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	73,344,668
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	40,494,356
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	0
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	83,791,708
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	150,326,988
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	32,273,558
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	22,502,146
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	1,966,691
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	833,076
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)	0
Gem (JX 1020)	4,075,585
Indulge (JX 1026)	16,011,184
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	44,792,974
Intercept (JX 1009)	0
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	53,123,612
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	1,828,297
Replenish (JX 1024)	3,350,256
Transform (JX 1014)	953,060
Vibrant (JX 1010)	89,673,957

*Handwritten notes:*  
 0 40,494,356  
 150,326,988  
 40,494,356  
 833,076  
 219,694  
 2,242,013  
 3/24/12  
 3/24/12

United States District Court  
 For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PATENT EXHAUSTION**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

33. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung is barred by patent exhaustion from enforcing the following Samsung patents against Apple?

'516 Patent                      Yes  (for Apple)                      No  (for Samsung)

'941 Patent                      Yes  (for Apple)                      No  (for Samsung)

Have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

Signed: *Velvin R. Hagan*                      Date: 8/24/12  
PRESIDING JUROR

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California