

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP  
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065  
rvannest@kvn.com  
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325  
canderson@kvn.com  
DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424  
dpurcell@kvn.com  
633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809  
Telephone: 415 391 5400  
Facsimile: 415 397 7188

KING & SPALDING LLP  
DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279  
fzimmer@kslaw.com  
CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323  
csabnis@kslaw.com  
101 Second Street, Suite 2300  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Tel: 415.318.1200  
Fax: 415.318.1300

KING & SPALDING LLP  
SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER  
(Pro Hac Vice)  
sweingaertner@kslaw.com  
ROBERT F. PERRY  
rperry@kslaw.com  
BRUCE W. BABER (Pro Hac Vice)  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
Tel: 212.556.2100  
Fax: 212.556.2222

IAN C. BALLON - #141819  
ballon@gtlaw.com  
HEATHER MEEKER - #172148  
meekerh@gtlaw.com  
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP  
1900 University Avenue  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Tel: 650.328.8500  
Fax: 650.328.8508

Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
GOOGLE INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA

**GOOGLE'S STATEMENT REGARDING  
ORDER REGARDING PROPOSED  
FINDINGS OF FACT AND  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW RE: ALL  
ISSUES OF FACT AND LAW THAT  
MUST BE RESOLVED BY THE JUDGE,  
INCLUDING SCOPE OF PROTECTION  
OF THE COPYRIGHTS**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. William Alsup

GOOGLE'S STATEMENT RE ORDER RE PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF  
LAW RE ALL ISSUES OF FACT AND LAW THAT MUST BE RESOLVED BY THE JUDGE,  
INCLUDING SCOPE OF PROTECTION OF THE COPYRIGHTS

Case No. 3:10-CV-03561 WHA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Google respectfully proposes only one modification to the Court’s proposed Order Regarding Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Re: All Issues of Fact and Law That Must Be Resolved by the Judge, Including Scope of Protection of the Copyrights. That proposed modification is that the first filing be due by noon on the second business day following the close of evidence in Phase One (instead of by noon on the first business day following the close of evidence).

Dated: April 22, 2012

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest  
ROBERT A. VAN NEST

Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE INC.