

1 [counsel listed on signature page]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA (DMR)

12

Plaintiff,

**SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
STATEMENT**

13

v.

14

GOOGLE INC.

Date: December 21, 2011

15

Defendant.

Time: 8:00 a.m.

Dept.: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor

Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Pursuant to the Court's November 21, 2011 order, Oracle America, Inc. and Google Inc.
2 hereby jointly submit (1) a list of four pending motions in limine that the parties believe are most
3 deserving of oral argument; (2) an update on the PTO's progress in reexamining the 26 asserted
4 patent claims; (3) an update on the status of Google's petition to the Federal Circuit for a writ of
5 mandamus regarding the Lindholm e-mail; and (4) a summary of other items that may impact the
6 trial date, duration, and scheduling.

7 **I. MOTIONS IN LIMINE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

8 The parties propose that the Court hear oral argument on at least the following four
9 motions in limine at the pretrial conference:

10 (1) Oracle's Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Regarding Patent
11 Reexaminations.

12 (2) Oracle's Motion in Limine No. 4 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Regarding
13 Oracle's Past Actions with Application Programming Interfaces.

14 (3) Google's Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Lindholm E-mail and Drafts Thereof.

15 (4) Google's Motion in Limine No. 3 to Exclude Portions of Cockburn Report on
16 Damages.

17 **II. STATUS OF THE REEXAMINATIONS**

18 ***Oracle's Statement***

19 Oracle provides the following update regarding the reexamination status of the six
20 patents-in-suit:

- 21 • '520 patent: The PTO has confirmed the patentability of the asserted claims of the
22 '520 patent. A reexamination certificate issued on November 9, 2011.
- 23 • '720 patent: On November 18, 2011, the PTO issued an Action Closing
24 Prosecution rejecting the asserted claims of the '720 patent in light of the proffered
25 prior art. Oracle's response seeking reconsideration of this action is due on
26 December 19, 2011.
- 27 • '104 patent: The PTO has not yet issued a first office action with respect to the
28 '104 patent.

- '476, '702, '205 patents: The PTO has tentatively rejected the asserted claims of these patents. All responses to the PTO's tentative rejections have been submitted and further office actions are anticipated.

The table below shows the history of the reexaminations for each of the six asserted patents:

Patent No. (type of reexam)	Office Action Issued	Oracle Response Filed	Google Response Filed	Final Office Action Issued	Further Oracle Response Due	Asserted Claims Subject To Reexam	Status
6,061,520 (ex parte)	6/23/11	8/23/11	n/a	n/a	n/a	All (1, 8, 12, 20)	Claims Confirmed Patentable
7,426,720 (inter partes)	5/5/11	7/5/11	8/4/11	11/18/11	12/19/11	All (1, 6, 10, 19, 21, 22)	Claims Rejected Further response from Oracle due on 12/19/11
RE38,104 (ex parte)	pending					All (11, 27, 29, 39, 40, 41)	Awaiting PTO office action
6,192,476 (ex parte)	6/16/11	9/16/11	n/a			All (14)	Claim Preliminarily Rejected Awaiting further PTO office action
5,966,702 (ex parte)	6/6/11	9/6/11	n/a			All (1, 6, 7, 12, 13, 15, 16)	Claims Preliminarily Rejected Awaiting further PTO office action
6,910,205 (inter partes)	8/19/11	10/18/11	11/18/11			All (1, 2)	Claims Preliminarily Rejected Awaiting further PTO office action

Google's Statement

The reexaminations of five of the six patents-in-suit remain ongoing, with roughly two-thirds of the currently asserted claims having been rejected. Eighty percent of the asserted claims as to which the PTO has issued an office action currently stand rejected. In the *inter partes* reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,426,720, the PTO has issued an Action Closing Prosecution after rejecting all asserted claims of the patent for a second time. In summary, as shown below, the PTO has rejected all of the asserted claims of four of the six patents; the PTO has not yet issued an office action with respect to one of the six patents; and the PTO has confirmed the patentability of the asserted claims of one of the six patents.

The table below shows the current status of the reexaminations as to the asserted patents:

Patent No. (reexam type)	Reexam Filed	Reexam Ordered	First Office Action Issued	Oracle Response Due / Filed	Google Response Due / Filed	Asserted Claims Subject to Reexam	Asserted Claims Currently Rejected	Asserted Claims Currently Allowed
6,192,476 (ex parte)	3/1	3/23 Control No. 90/011,521	6/16	Filed 9/16	n/a	All (14)	All (14)	None
5,966,702 (ex parte)	2/15	3/23 Control No. 90/011,492	6/6	Filed 9/6	n/a	All (1, 6, 7, 12, 13, 15, 16)	All (1, 6, 7, 12, 13, 15, 16)	None
7,426,720 (inter partes)	3/-1	4/18 Control No. 95/001,560	5/5	Filed 7/5	Filed 8/4	All (1, 6, 10, 19, 21, 22)	All (1, 6, 10, 19, 21, 22)	None; Action Closing Prosecution issued 11/18
RE38,104 (ex parte)	2/15	3/28 Control No. 90/011,490	Pending		n/a	All (11, 27, 29, 39, 40, 41)		None
6,910,205 (inter partes)	2/17	4/14 Control No. 95/001,548	8/19	Filed 10/19	Filed 11/18	All (1, 2)	All (1, 2)	None
6,061,520 (ex parte)	2/15	3/23 Control No. 90/011,489	6/23	Filed 8/23	n/a	All (1, 8, 12, 20)		All (1, 8, 12, 20)

III. STATUS OF GOOGLE'S PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

With the filing of Google's reply brief on December 5, 2011, the petition is now fully briefed and the parties await further action by the Federal Circuit. Recently, the Federal Circuit has ruled on writ petitions within two to five months of the date briefing was completed. For example:

- *In re Link-A-Media Devices Corp.*, --- F.3d ----, 2011 WL 6004566, Misc. No. 990 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 2, 2011). The petition was fully briefed on July 22, 2011. The Federal Circuit granted the petition on December 2, 2011.
- *In re Shared Memory Graphics LLC*, 659 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2011). The petition was fully briefed on May 2, 2011. The Federal Circuit granted the petition on September 22, 2011.
- *In re Vertical Computer Systems, Inc.*, 435 Fed. Appx. 950 (Fed. Cir. 2011). The

1 petition was fully briefed on June 8, 2011. The Federal Circuit denied the petition
2 in a written opinion dated August 17, 2011.

- 3 • *In re Chicco USA, Inc.*, 429 Fed.Appx. 993 (Fed. Cir. 2011). The petition was
4 fully briefed on April 11, 2011. The Federal Circuit denied the petition in a
5 written opinion dated 7/12/2011).

6 **IV. TRIAL SCHEDULING CONSIDERATIONS**

7 **Oracle's Statement:** To avoid further delay, Oracle requests that the Court set a trial date
8 as early as possible following the submission of Dr. Kearl's expert report on January 19, 2012.

9 For the Court's information, the parties have listed below the trial commitments of lead counsel
10 in early 2012. Nonetheless, the parties can be expected to accommodate whatever date is
11 convenient for the Court.

12 **Google's Statement:** Google agrees that the trial should begin as soon as possible
13 following the submission of Dr. Kearl's expert report on January 19, 2012. In light of the prior
14 commitments of lead counsel for both parties, however, and in light of the Court's announced
15 plan to trifurcate the trial with jury deliberations following each phase, Google proposes that early
16 July 2012 is the earliest practicable date for trial.

17 **Lead Counsel Trial Commitments**

18 The parties have conferred regarding the schedules of lead trial counsel Michael Jacobs
19 and David Boies for Oracle, and Robert Van Nest and Christa Anderson for Google. Messrs.
20 Jacobs, Boies, and Van Nest, and Ms. Anderson have certain prior commitments in the first few
21 months of 2012 that could conflict with the trial date in this case. Those trial commitments are as
22 follows:

23 **Michael Jacobs**

- 24 • *eTool Development, Inc. et al v. National Semiconductor Corporation*, EDTX
25 Case No. 2:08-cv-00196-WCB. Pretrial conference December 20, 2011; trial
26 January 3-10, 2012.
- 27 • *In the Matter of Certain Electronic Digital Media Devices and Components
28 Thereof*, Investigation No. 337-TA-796 (U.S. ITC). Trial May 31-June 6, 2012.

29 **David Boies**

- 30 • *Rincon EV Realty LLC, Rincon ET Realty LLC, and Rincon Residential Towers
31 LLC v. CP III Rincon Towers, Inc., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive*, San

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-10-496887. Trial date is currently February 27, 2012 (expected 2-3 weeks).

Robert Van Nest

- *New York v. Intel Corp.*, D. Del. No. 09-827 (LDS). Pretrial Conference Jan. 27, 2012; trial February 14-24, 2012.
- *Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.*, E.D. Tex. No. 6:09-cv-399 (LED). Pretrial Conference March 22, 2012; trial April 2-20, 2012.
- *Genentech Inc. v. Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania*, N.D. Cal. No. 5:10-cv-02037 LHK (PSG). Pretrial Conference May 23, 2012; trial June 11-22, 2012.
- Mr. Van Nest also plans to be out of the country from April 26-May 11, 2012.

Christa Anderson

- *Marin Health Care District v. Sutter Health*, JAMS Ref. No. 1100065277. Arbitration Jan. 17-Feb. 10, 2012.
- *Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization v. Lenovo (United States) Inc.*, E.D. Tex. No. 6:09-cv-399 (LED). Pretrial Conference March 22, 2012; trial April 2-20, 2012.

1 Dated: December 7, 2011

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Michael A. Jacobs

4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)
mjacobs@mofo.com
5 KENNETH A. KUWAYTI (Bar No. 145384)
kkuwayti@mofo.com
6 MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725)
mdpeters@mofo.com
7 DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624)
dmuino@mofo.com
8 755 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018
9 Telephone: (650) 813-5600
Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

10
11 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
dboies@bsflp.com
12 333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504
13 Telephone: (914) 749-8200
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300
14 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177)
sholtzman@bsflp.com
15 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612
16 Telephone: (510) 874-1000
Facsimile: (510) 874-1460

17
18 ORACLE CORPORATION
DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049)
dorian.daley@oracle.com
19 DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527)
deborah.miller@oracle.com
20 MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No.
21 211600)
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com
22 500 Oracle Parkway
Redwood City, CA 94065
23 Telephone: (650) 506-5200
Facsimile: (650) 506-7114

24 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

1 Dated: December 7, 2011

KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP

2 By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest

3 ROBERT A. VAN NEST (SBN 84065)
4 rvannest@kvn.com
5 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON (SBN184325)
6 canderson@kvn.com
7 DANIEL PURCELL (SBN 191424)
8 dpurcell@kvn.com
9 710 Sansome Street
10 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
11 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
12 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

13 SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
14 sweingaertner@kslaw.com
15 ROBERT F. PERRY
16 rperry@kslaw.com
17 BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
18 bbaber@kslaw.com
19 1185 Avenue of the Americas
20 New York, NY 10036-4003
21 Telephone: (212) 556-2100
22 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

23 DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. (SBN 112279)
24 fzimmer@kslaw.com
25 CHERYL A. SABNIS (SBN 224323)
26 csabnis@kslaw.com
27 KING & SPALDING LLP
28 101 Second Street - Suite 2300
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 318-1200
Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
IAN C. BALLON (SBN 141819)
ballon@gtlaw.com
HEATHER MEEKER (SBN 172148)
meekerh@gtlaw.com
1900 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 328-8500
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION

I, Michael A. Jacobs, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT PRETRIAL CONFERENCE STATEMENT. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Robert A. Van Nest has concurred in this filing.

Date: December 7, 2011

/s/ Michael A. Jacobs