

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

v.

GOOGLE INC.,  
Defendant.

**SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER  
TO SUBMIT COLOR-CODED  
HANDOUT OF CLAIMS TO  
BE TRIED**


There seems to be confusion between the parties regarding whether obviousness combinations should be allowed in the color-coded handout. They should not be. The color-coded handouts are strictly for evaluating anticipation by single references. Please conform accordingly.

The parties should also include a legend on each page that identifies the meaning of the red lining, and the blue and gray highlighting with the names of anticipatory references, such as “missing from Android” and “missing from Reference X.”

A new joint handout must be filed by **NOON ON NOVEMBER 30, 2011.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: November 22, 2011.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE