

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

Direct Infringement

1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly infringes claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?

Yes
(Infringing)

No
(Not Proven)

CLAIM 11:

CLAIM 27:

CLAIM 29:

CLAIM 39:

CLAIM 40:

CLAIM 41:

2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly infringes claims 1, 8, 12, and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Yes
(Infringing) No
(Not Proven)

CLAIM 1: _____ _____
CLAIM 8: _____ _____
CLAIM 12: _____ _____
CLAIM 20: _____ _____

Indirect Infringement

3. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or contributed to any infringement of claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104? (Answer this question only as to the claim(s) for which you found “yes” in Question No. 1)

Yes
(Infringing) No
(Not Proven)

CLAIM 11: _____ _____
CLAIM 27: _____ _____
CLAIM 29: _____ _____
CLAIM 39: _____ _____
CLAIM 40: _____ _____
CLAIM 41: _____ _____

1 4. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or
2 contributed to any infringement of claims 1, 8, 12, and 20 of United States Patent Number
3 6,061,520? (Answer this question only as to the claim(s) for which you found “yes” in
4 Question No. 2)

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Yes
(Infringing) No
(Not Proven)

CLAIM 1:	_____	_____
CLAIM 8:	_____	_____
CLAIM 12:	_____	_____
CLAIM 20:	_____	_____

Dated: May ____, 2012.

FOREPERSON