

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP  
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065  
2 rvannest@kvn.com  
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325  
3 canderson@kvn.com  
DANIEL PURCELL - #191424  
4 dpurcell@kvn.com  
710 Sansome Street  
5 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704  
Telephone: (415) 391-5400  
6 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

KING & SPALDING LLP  
SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
sweingaertner@kslaw.com  
ROBERT F. PERRY  
rperry@kslaw.com  
BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
bbaber@kslaw.com  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-4003  
Telephone: (212) 556-2100  
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

7 KING & SPALDING LLP  
DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279  
8 fzimmer@kslaw.com  
CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323  
9 csabnis@kslaw.com  
101 Second Street, Suite 2300  
10 San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 318-1200  
11 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
IAN C. BALLON - #141819  
ballon@gtlaw.com  
HEATHER MEEKER - #172148  
meekerh@gtlaw.com  
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 328-8500  
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

12 Attorneys for Defendant  
GOOGLE INC.

13  
14  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
18

19 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
20 Plaintiff,  
21 v.  
22 GOOGLE INC.,  
23 Defendant.  
24

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA  
**GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
UNDER SEAL**  
Judge: The Honorable William Alsup

1 Pursuant to the Northern District of California’s Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5,  
2 Defendant Google Inc. (“Google”) hereby brings this Administrative Motion to Seal the  
3 following material designated by Google as “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’  
4 Eyes Only” pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated  
5 Conditions entered in this case [Dkt. No. 68.]:

- 6 • The redacted portions of Google’s Opposition to Oracle’s Renewed Motion to  
7 Strike Portions of Dr. James Kearl’s Expert Report, including lines 1:1, 2:23-28,  
8 3:1-2, and 3:20-28 of the Opposition;
- 9 • The redacted portions of Exhibit A to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support  
10 of Google’s Opposition To Oracle’s Renewed Motion to Exclude Portions of the  
11 Expert Report of Dr. James Kearl, which contains excerpted pages from the April  
12 27, 2012 deposition of Andy Rubin regarding Google’s financial information  
13 related to its Android business unit.

14 The redacted portions of Google’s Opposition expressly disclose or would allow others to  
15 deduce Google’s sensitive, non-public financial data, such as costs, revenues, and profits, as well  
16 as projected costs, revenues, and profits, associated with Android. It also contains sensitive,  
17 non-public information about Google’s financial management practices and methodologies.  
18 Public release of this information would cause great and undue harm to Google. Exhibit A of the  
19 Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google’s Opposition to Oracle’s Renewed Motion to  
20 Strike Portions of Dr. James Kearl’s Expert Report contains sensitive, non-public information  
21 about Google’s financial management practices and methodologies. Public release of this  
22 information would cause great and undue harm to Google. The Court has previously granted  
23 motions to seal based on the identical financial data and practices. *See* Dkt. No. 935.

24 Dated: May 1, 2012

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

25 By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest  
26 ROBERT A. VAN NEST  
27 Attorneys for Defendant  
28 GOOGLE INC.