PTO/SB/58 (02-09)
Approved for use through 02/28/2013. OMB 0651-0064
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also referred to as FORM PTO-1465)

REQUEST FOR *INTER PARTES* REEXAMINATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

Address to:
Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Reexam
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attorney Docket No.: 13557-105161.R2

Date: August 12, 2011

1. [X] This is a request for *inter partes* reexamination pursuant to 37 CFR 1.913 of patent number 7,620,565 issued November 17, 2009. The request is made by a third party requester, identified herein below.

2. [X] a. The name and address of the person requesting reexamination is:

   Google Inc.
   1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
   Mountain View, CA 94043

   b. The real party in interest (37 CFR 1.915(b)(8)) is: Google Inc.

3. [ ] a. A check in the amount of $_____ is enclosed to cover the reexamination fee, 37 CFR 1.20(c)(2);

   [X] b. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(c)(2) to Deposit Account No. 50-4616 ; **or**

   [ ] c. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

4. [X] Any refund should be made by [ ] check or [X] credit to Deposit Account No. 50-4616 37 CFR 1.26(c). If payment is made by credit card, refund must be to credit card account.

5. [X] A copy of the patent to be reexamined having a double column format on one side of a separate paper is enclosed. 37 CFR 1.915(b)(5)

6. [ ] CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, Computer Program (Appendix) or large table
   [ ] Landscape Table on CD

7. [ ] Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission
   If applicable, items a. – c. are required.

   a. [ ] Computer Readable Form (CRF)
   b. Specification Sequence Listing on:
      i. [ ] CD-ROM (2 copies) or CD-R (2 copies); **or**
      ii. [ ] paper
   c. [ ] Statements verifying identity of above copies

8. [X] A copy of any disclaimer, certificate of correction or reexamination certificate issued in the patent is included.

9. [X] Reexamination of claim(s) 1-11, 13-15, 17-22, and 25-32 is requested.

10. [X] A copy of every patent or printed publication relied upon is submitted herewith including a listing thereof on Form PTO/SB/08, PTO-1449, or equivalent.

11. [ ] An English language translation of all necessary and pertinent non-English language patents and/or printed publications is included.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.915. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 18 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Reexam, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**
If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

12. ☒ The attached detailed request includes at least the following items:

a. A statement identifying each substantial new question of patentability based on prior patents and printed publications. 37 CFR 1.915(b)(3)
b. An identification of every claim for which reexamination is requested, and a detailed explanation of the pertinency and manner of applying the cited art to every claim for which reexamination is requested. 37 CFR 1.915(b)(1) & (3).

13. ☒ It is certified that the estoppel provisions of 37 CFR 1.907 do not prohibit this reexamination. 37 CFR 1.915(b)(7)

14. ☒ a. It is certified that a copy of this request has been served in its entirety on the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c).
The name and address of the party served and the date of service are:

Lawrence Aaronson

McKeon Meunier Carlin & Curfman, LLC

817 West Peachtree Street, Suite 900, Atlanta GA 30308

Date of Service: August 12, 2011; **or**

☐ b. A duplicate copy is enclosed because service on patent owner was not possible. An explanation of the efforts made to serve patent owner **is attached**. See MPEP 2620.

15. Third Party Requester Correspondence Address: Direct all communications about the reexamination to:

☒ The address associated with Customer Number: 79975

OR

☐ Firm or Individual Name

Address

City	State	Zip

Country

Telephone	Email

16. ☒ The patent is currently the subject of the following concurrent proceeding(s):

☐ a. Copending reissue Application No.

☐ b. Copending reexamination Control No.

☐ c. Copending Interference No.

☒ d. Copending litigation styled:
See Attached

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

/Sanjeet K. Dutta/	August 12, 2011
Authorized Signature	Date
Sanjeet K. Dutta	46,145
Typed/Printed Name	Registration No., if applicable

CONTINUATION OF BOX 16.d.

Lodsys LLC v. Brother Int'l Corp. et al., Case No. 2:11-cv-00009, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

Lodsys LLC v. Combay Inc. et al., Case No. 2:11-cv-00272, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

Lodsys LLC v. Adidas America Inc. et al., Case No. 2:11-cv-00283, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

Lodsys LLC v. DriveTime Automotive Group Inc. et al., Case No. 2:11-cv-00309, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

DriveTime Automotive Group Inc., v. Lodsys LLC, Case No. 2:11-cv-01307, in the U.S. District Court for Arizona

ESET, LLC v. Lodsys LLC, Case No. 3:11-cv-01285, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California

ESET, LLC v. Lodsys LLC, Case No. 2:11-cv-00650, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

Foresee Results, Inc. v. Lodsys LLC, Case No. 1:11-cv-03886, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

New York Times Co. v. Lodsys LLC, Case No. 1:11-cv-04004, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

LivePerson, Inc. v. Lodsys LLC, Case No. 1:11-cv-04088, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

OpinionLab, Inc. v. Lodsys LLC, Case No. 1:11-cv-04015, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois