

Exhibit E

Pernick, Marc J.

From: Thomas Watson [tomwatson@quinnemanuel.com]

Sent: Wednesday, August 08, 2012 1:37 AM

To: Pernick, Marc J.

Cc: Victoria Maroulis; Diane Hutnyan

Subject: Re: Apple v. Samsung: Jury Instructions

Hi Marc, my reference to design includes trade dress. Hope to get something to you tomorrow.

Thomas R. Watson | Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP | Office: 1.650.801.5017

----- Reply message -----

From: "Pernick, Marc J." <MPernick@mofo.com>

Date: Wed, Aug 8, 2012 12:11 am

Subject: Apple v. Samsung: Jury Instructions

To: "Thomas Watson" <tomwatson@quinnemanuel.com>, "Victoria Maroulis" <victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com>

Cc: "AppleMoFo" <AppleMoFo@mofo.com>,

"WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com"

<WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com>, "Samsung v. Apple"

<Samsungv.Apple@quinnemanuel.com>

Thanks, Tom. I will call you tomorrow to discuss process.

You did not mention trade dress. When can you get us any proposals you have on those instructions?

Marc

From: Thomas Watson [mailto:tomwatson@quinnemanuel.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2012 11:54 PM

To: Pernick, Marc J.; Victoria Maroulis

Cc: AppleMoFo; 'WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com'; Samsung v. Apple

Subject: RE: Apple v. Samsung: Jury Instructions

Marc,

We write with respect to jury instructions. We are still working on the design and damages related instructions, but we wanted to give you our agreements/draft proposals on the following General Civil, Utility Patent, and FRAND/Antitrust instructions now in the interests of time. We do not intend this email and the attached instructions to be an exhaustive attempt to address all of Apple's proposed instructions, nor a waiver of instructions we have previously submitted or exchanged unless otherwise expressly stated below.

Marc, we are hoping you can start preparing the joint pleadings based on our agreements and draft proposed instructions in parallel with our meet and confer efforts considering how long that process takes.

A. General Civil Instructions

8/9/2012

No. 5, Evidence Preservation – We have attached our proposed alternate instruction.
No. 6, Damages Production – We have attached our proposed alternate instruction.
No. 7, Evidence For Limited Purpose – Have you thought further about this one?
No. 8, Summary of Contentions – We have attached a proposed redline that brings the instruction Apple proposes into line with the Court’s preliminary instructions.

We also want our old Instruction No. 13 (numbering from Joint Disputed Instructions submitted to Court) relating to “Two or More Parties—Different Legal Rights.” See Ninth Circuit Model Instruction 1.5.

B. Utility Patent (not including damages)

Areas of agreement to the extent we did not agree Sunday night

No. 12, Direct Infringement – Agreement based on your representation that Apple will move the paragraph on U.S. sales to 12.1. We will send you a responsive instruction for 12.1.
No. 13, Literal Infringement – Agreement.
No. 16, Burden of Proof – Agree to short version.
No. 17, Written Description – Agreement.
No. 19, Statutory Bars – Agreement subject to our objection to Apple’s inclusion of effective filing dates. The effective filing dates language should be deleted.
No. 20, Obviousness – Agreement.

Proposed Revisions

No. 14, DOE – The instruction should be bilateral, and we will have a similar footnote stating that Samsung does not believe that Apple should be permitted to rely on DOE with a reservation of rights to modify the instruction if the Court rules in Samsung’s favor. Samsung also objects to the “software instructions” language Apple inserted. We should stick to the model and delete all “software instructions” language.

Method Claim Instruction

I have attached our proposed method claim instruction that we had previously exchanged after we filed the initial set of disputed instructions.

C. FRAND/Antitrust

Apple’s proposals (numbering consistent with Apple’s latest proposed instructions)

No. 23, Equitable estoppel: Agreement.
No. 63, Breach of contract – timely disclosure: Agreement.
No. 64, Monopolization – Elements: Agreement.
No. 65, Monopolization -- Relevant market: Proposed revisions attached.
No. 67, Monopolization – Willful acquisition of monopoly power: Proposed revisions attached.
No. 68, Monopolization – Anticompetitive behavior in standard-setting: Proposed revisions attached.
No. 70, Monopolization—Interstate conduct: Agreement.
No. 71, Monopolization—Injury and damages: Agreement.

Samsung proposals (numbering from Joint Disputed Instructions submitted to Court)

We will drop 85.1 and 85.2.

We will drop 89.

Remaining disagreements (numbering from Joint Disputed Instructions submitted to Court)

No. 27, Patent Exhaustion

No. 28, Waiver

No. 84.1, Monopolization—Monopoly power generally

No. 86, Monopolization –Evidence of Monopoly power

No. 86.1, Monopolization –Existence of Monopoly power—Direct Proof

Tom Watson

Thomas R. Watson | Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP | Office: +1.650.801.5017

From: Pernick, Marc J. [mailto:MPernick@mofo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2012 10:57 AM

To: Victoria Maroulis; Thomas Watson

Cc: AppleMoFo; WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com; Samsung v. Apple

Subject: Apple v. Samsung: Jury Instructions

Vicki and Tom:

There were some instructions we discussed on Sunday night to which you agreed, subject to confirming that our proposed text did not substantively deviate from the model. Now that you've had some time to look at them, we wanted to see if we could officially put these instructions into the "undisputed" camp. These instructions include --

- o Apple's Proposed Instruction Nos. 13, 14 (with the caveat that you think this DOE instruction should be bilateral), 17, 19 (subject to your objection about including the effective filing dates), 20, and 29.
- o On Instruction No. 12 (direct infringement), we'll agree to move the paragraph on sales within the United States into a separate Instruction No. 12.1. With that, I think we can also agree to Instruction No. 12. Please let me know.
- o Have you decided whether you prefer our shorter or longer proposals for Instruction Nos. 16 and 35 (burden of proof for invalidity)? We can hopefully put these two to rest too.

If you want to discuss these instructions live, let us know when today would work for a phone call or a meeting. In addition, we propose that the parties exchange any further modifications to their proposed instructions by today at 9:00 pm. Does that work for you?

Regards,
Marc

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to
<http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

8/9/2012

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

For information about this legend, go to
<http://www.mofo.com/Circular230/>

=====

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail @mofo.com, and delete the message.
