

1 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP  
 JAMES G. GILLILAND, JR. (State Bar No. 107988)  
 2 MEHRNAZ BOROUMAND SMITH (State Bar No. 197271)  
 MEGAN M. CHUNG (State Bar No. 232044)  
 3 J. JEB B. OBLAK (State Bar No. 241384)  
 Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor  
 4 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 576-0200  
 5 Facsimile: (415) 576-0300  
 Email: jggilliland@townsend.com  
 6 mboroumand@townsend.com  
 mmchung@townsend.com  
 7 jboblak@townsend.com

8 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
 GEORGE RILEY (State Bar No. 118304)  
 9 Two Embarcadero Center, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 10 Telephone: (415) 984-8700  
 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701  
 11 Email: griley@omm.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant  
 APPLE INC.

13  
 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 15 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
 17

18 APPLE INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 PSYSTAR CORPORATION, a Florida  
 corporation,

22 Defendant.

23 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  
 24

Case No. 08-3251 WHA

**STIPULATION REGARDING  
 DISPOSITION OF CLAIMS**

25 Whereas on November 13, 2009, the Court issued its Order Re Cross Motions for  
 26 Summary Judgment;

27 Whereas the Court will hear and determine the request of plaintiff, Apple Inc. ("Apple"),  
 28 for a permanent injunction on December 14, 2009; and

1           Whereas the parties desire to have a final judgment entered in this matter once a decision is  
2 rendered by the Court regarding a permanent injunction;

3           Now, therefore, it is hereby stipulated by and between Apple and Psystar Corporation  
4 (“Psystar”), as follows:

5           1.       With respect to Apple’s First Claim for Relief (Copyright Infringement) in the  
6 Amended Complaint, judgment may be awarded in favor of Apple and against Psystar.

7           2.       With respect to Apple’s Second Claim for Relief (Contributory and Induced  
8 Copyright Infringement) in the Amended Complaint, judgment may be awarded in favor of Apple  
9 and against Psystar.

10          3.       With respect to Apple’s Third Claim for Relief (Violation of the Digital  
11 Millennium Copyright Act) in the Amended Complaint, judgment may be awarded in favor of  
12 Apple and against Psystar.

13          4.       With respect to Apple’s Fourth Claim for Relief (Breach of Contract) in the  
14 Amended Complaint judgment may be entered in favor of Apple and against Psystar.

15          5.       With respect to Apple’s Fifth Claim for Relief (Inducing Breach of Contract) in the  
16 Amended Complaint judgment may be entered in favor of Apple and against Psystar.

17          6.       With respect to Apple’s First through Fifth Claims for Relief in the Amended  
18 Complaint, damages may be awarded in favor of Apple, and against Psystar, in the amount of  
19 \$1,337,550.

20          7.       With respect to Apple’s First, Second and Third Claims for Relief in the Amended  
21 Complaint, attorneys’ fees and costs in addition to and separate from the damages set forth in  
22 paragraph 6, above, may be awarded in favor of Apple, and against Psystar, in the amount of  
23 \$1,337,500.

24          8.       Apple agrees it will not seek to execute on the money judgments set forth in  
25 paragraphs 6 and 7, *supra*, until any and all appeals in this matter are concluded or the time for  
26 filing any such appeal has lapsed.

27          9.       Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a), Apple will dismiss without prejudice to its right  
28

1 to refile in the future the Sixth Claim for Relief (Trademark Infringement), Seventh Claim for  
2 Relief (Trademark Infringement), Eighth Claim for Relief (Trade Dress Infringement), Ninth  
3 Claim for Relief (Trademark Dilution), Tenth Claim for Relief (State Unfair Competition) and  
4 Eleventh Claim for Relief (Common Law Unfair Competition) in the Amended Complaint.  
5 Psystar agrees to toll the statute of limitations applicable to each of these claims for relief until a  
6 date thirty days after Apple is required to file an Answer in the matter *Psystar Corporation v.*  
7 *Apple, Inc.*, whether that case proceeds in the Southern District of Florida, the Northern District of  
8 California, or some other district.

9           10. Judgment may be entered in favor of Apple, and against Psystar, on Psystar's First  
10 Amended Counterclaim (Declaration of Unenforceability for Copyright Misuse (EULA)) and on  
11 Psystar's Second Amended Counterclaim (Declaration of Unenforceability for Copyright Misuse  
12 (DMCA)).

13           11. With respect to all issues (including but not limited to liability) other than the  
14 stipulated damages and attorneys' fees and costs awards, the parties reserve their rights to appeal  
15 with respect to the final judgments entered on Apple's First through Fifth Claims for Relief in the  
16 Amended Complaint as well as Psystar's First and Second Amended Counterclaims.

17 //  
18 //  
19 //  
20 //  
21 //  
22 //  
23 //  
24 //  
25 //  
26 //  
27 //

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

12. The parties agree that neither the fact of this Stipulation, nor the terms of it, shall be argued by either of them either in favor of, or against, any contention that venue in the Southern District of Florida is appropriate, or is not appropriate, in the matter *Psystar Corporation v. Apple Inc.*, S.D. Fla. Case No. 09-22535 CIV-Hoeveler/Gardner.

IT IS SO AGREED.

DATED: November 30, 2009


TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

By:   
JAMES G. GILLILAND, JR.

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant  
APPLE INC.

DATED: November 30, 2009

CAMARA & SIBLEY

By:   
K.A.D. CAMARA

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant  
PSYSTAR CORPORATION

62325485 v1