	Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA	Document131	Filed05/03/11	Page1 of 2
1				
2				
2				
4				
5				
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8				
9				
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,		No. C 10-	03561 WHA
11	Plaintiff,			
12	V.	ORDER RE SCHEDULE		
13	GOOGLE INC.,			RROWING FOR TRIAL
14	Defendant.			
15		/		
16	Having reviewed the parties' memoranda regarding the reexamination proceedings and the			
17	streamlining of this action, for which counsel are thanked, the Court proposes a three-step			
18	process, as below. Each side may file a five-page (double spaced, twelve-point Times New			
19	Roman font, no footnotes, and no attachments) critique of the tentative schedule by <b>NOON ON</b>			
20	<b>MAY 6, 2011</b> . After taking any critiques into account, the schedule will be finalized.			
21	Currently, there are 132 claims from seven patents asserted in this action, and there are			
22	bundrade of prior art references in play for invalidity defenses. This is too much. The following			

Currently, there are 132 claims from seven patents asserted in this action, and there are hundreds of prior art references in play for invalidity defenses. This is too much. The following schedule will ensure that only a triable number of these items — *three claims and eight prior art references* — are placed before the jury in October, all others to be forsaken. Oracle will surrender all of its present infringement claims against Google based on the 129 asserted claims that will not be tried. *Oracle may not renew those infringement claims in a subsequent action except as to new products.* 

The first reduction will follow claim construction. Within SEVEN DAYS after the finalized claim construction order issues, Oracle shall narrow its patent infringement case to 40 asserted claims. Within SEVEN DAYS after that, Google shall narrow its invalidity case to 120 prior art **references.** It is anticipated that this first pair of reductions will be completed by the end of May.

The second reduction will follow expert disclosures. By AUGUST 24 (five days after reply expert reports must be served), Oracle shall narrow its patent infringement case to 20 asserted claims. By AUGUST 29 (five days later), Google shall narrow its invalidity case to 60 prior art references. The parties will then have a week of expert discovery remaining, and another week before summary-judgment motions must be filed.

The third reduction will follow summary judgment. Between the date on which the 10 summary-judgment order issues and the final pretrial conference, Oracle shall select no more than 12 three asserted claims and Google shall select no more than eight prior art references for trial. All others will be forsaken. Counsel did not specify their understanding of a "triable number" of 13 14 claims and prior art references, so the Court selected these figures based on its own views and 15 experience. The exact dates for the final selections will be set in the summary-judgment order. 16 Unless summary-judgment motions are filed early, the summary-judgment hearing will fall on 17 October 13. If that happens, then the final pretrial conference currently scheduled for October 17 18 will be continued to October24 in order to allow time for this process.

19 The trial remains set to begin on October 31. The parties, however, are requested to 20 comment on the following. If our trial were postponed until *after* the inter partes reexaminations, 21 to what extent would the results there possibly moot out the need for a trial here? When will the 22 ex parte reexaminations be completed?

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** 

26 Dated: May 3, 2011.

SUF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

23

24

25

27

28