

Brent O. Hatch (5715)  
[bhatch@hjdllaw.com](mailto:bhatch@hjdllaw.com)  
Mark F. James (5295)  
[mjames@hjdllaw.com](mailto:mjames@hjdllaw.com)  
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC  
10 West Broadway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101  
Telephone: (801) 363-6363  
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice)  
[ssinger@bsfllp.com](mailto:ssinger@bsfllp.com)  
Sashi Bach Boruchow (admitted pro hac vice)  
[sboruchow@bsfllp.com](mailto:sboruchow@bsfllp.com)  
BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
401 East Las Olas Blvd.  
Suite 1200  
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301  
Telephone: (954) 356-0011  
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)  
[dboies@bsfllp.com](mailto:dboies@bsfllp.com)  
Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)  
[rsilver@bsfllp.com](mailto:rsilver@bsfllp.com)  
Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)  
[enormand@bsfllp.com](mailto:enormand@bsfllp.com)  
BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
333 Main Street  
Armonk, New York 10504  
Telephone: (914) 749-8200  
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

*Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

<p>THE SCO GROUP, INC., by and through the Chapter 11 Trustee in Bankruptcy, Edward N. Cahn,</p> <p>Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>NOVELL, INC., a Delaware corporation,</p> <p>Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.</p>	<p><b>SCO'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM</b></p> <p>Civil No. 2:04 CV-00139</p> <p>Judge Ted Stewart</p>
--	---

**SLANDER OF TITLE**

1. Did SCO acquire ownership of some or all of the UNIX and UnixWare copyrights through the amended APA?                      Yes \_\_\_\_              No \_\_\_\_

If Yes, answer the next question. If No, do not answer any other questions; sign and return this form.

2. Has Novell made one or more false statements with respect to SCO's ownership of UNIX and UnixWare copyrights?                      Yes \_\_\_\_              No \_\_\_\_

If Yes, answer the next question. If No, do not answer any other questions; sign and return this form.

3. Did Novell make any of its false statements without any privilege, or in a manner that abused or exceeded any privilege?                      Yes \_\_\_\_              No \_\_\_\_

If Yes, answer the next question. If No, do not answer any other questions; sign and return this form.

4. Did SCO show by clear and convincing evidence that Novell made one or more of its false statements with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard for the truth of the statements?                      Yes \_\_\_\_              No \_\_\_\_

Whether you answer Yes or No, proceed to Question No. 5.

5. Did Novell cause SCO damages in making any of its false statements?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

If Yes, answer the next question. If No, do not answer any other questions; sign and return this form.

6. What is the amount of damages that SCO has suffered? Please state the amount:

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

If you have awarded SCO damages, please proceed to the following question regarding punitive damages.

**PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

1. Do you award punitive damages against Novell?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

2. What is the amount of punitive damages that you award against Novell?

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of March, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
Foreperson