

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
Mark F. James (5295)
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 363-6363
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 340-2600
Facsimile: (612) 340-2868

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)
Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, New York 10504
Telephone: (914) 749-8200
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice)
Sashi Bach Boruchow (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
401 East Las Olas Blvd.
Suite 1200
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (954) 356-0011
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

<p>THE SCO GROUP, INC., by and through the Chapter 11 Trustee in Bankruptcy, Edward N. Cahn, Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant, vs. NOVELL, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.</p>	<p>SCO'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1 TO PRECLUDE MISLEADING STATEMENTS OR EVIDENCE CONCERNING LANGUAGE IN THE APA REMOVED BY AMENDMENT NO. 2</p> <p>Civil No. 2:04 CV-00139</p> <p>Judge Ted Stewart</p>
--	--

Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc. (“SCO”), moves in limine to preclude misleading statements concerning language in the Asset Purchase Agreement (“APA”) that was changed by Amendment No. 2 to that Agreement.

ARGUMENT

The APA was amended by Amendment No. 2 to replace the language regarding the exclusion of “all copyrights.” The Tenth Circuit’s decision makes clear that the APA is to be interpreted together with Amendment No. 2. The Court expressly stated that “Amendment No. 2 must be considered together with the APA as a unified document.” SCO Group, Inc. v. Novell, Inc., 578 F.3d 1201, 1211 (10th Cir. 2009). Amendment No. 2 was not “meant to substantively change the intent of the APA,” but “merely clarified or affirmed the intent of the APA.” Id. at 1214 n.2. As the Court of Appeals further noted: “Even if we considered the language of the APA and Amendment No. 2 to be mutually antagonistic, California law still dictates that we construe them together, following Amendment No. 2 wherever its language contradicts the APA.” Id.

Novell previously made extensive arguments to the Court referring to the language excluding “all copyrights” that was replaced by Amendment No. 2. That language is no longer in the APA and it would be misleading for Novel to make arguments in the presence of the jury that suggest the APA in fact still contains such language. SCO recognizes that in presenting extrinsic evidence it may be necessary to refer to the language that existed before Amendment No. 2 was adopted. In doing so, however, the parties should be clear that this is not the current state of the contractual language that is to be considered by the jury.

Accordingly, SCO requests that the Court enter an order in limine to preclude misleading statements by the parties concerning language in the un-amended APA that was changed by Amendment No. 2 to that Agreement, and for counsel to inform witnesses to abide by this order.

DATED this 8th day of February, 2010.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.
Brent O. Hatch
Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
David Boies
Robert Silver
Stuart H. Singer
Edward Normand
Sashi Bach Boruchow

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Devan V. Padmanabhan

Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Edward Normand
Edward Normand
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504
Telephone: 914-749-8200
Facsimile: 914-749-8300
enormand@bsflp.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Edward Normand, hereby certify that on this 8th day of February 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **SCO'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1** was filed with the Court and served via electronic mail to the following recipients:

Sterling A. Brennan
David R. Wright
Kirk R. Harris
Cara J. Baldwin
WORKMAN | NYDEGGER
1000 Eagle Gate Tower
60 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Thomas R. Karrenberg
Heather M. Sneddon
ANDERSON & KARRENBERG
700 Bank One Tower
50 West Broadway
Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Michael A. Jacobs
Eric M. Aker
Grant L. Kim
MORRISON & FOERSTER
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

Counsel for Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff Novell, Inc.

/s/ Edward Normand
Edward Normand
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504
Telephone: 914-749-8200
Facsimile: 914-749-8300
enormand@bsflp.com