From steveb Mon May 13 08:43:15 1991 To: mikemur paulma stevewe Subject: OS/2 Cc: billg jeremybu joachimk mikehal mikemap pattys petern steveb Date: Mon May 13 08:43:06 1991

I have been thinking and talking to many of you about os/2 1.x and 2.x I propose here a straw man these are not vclear cut on a set of actions there is tension between our desire to be the only vendor to our Oem's and to hoping OS/2 2 does not succeed more importantly there is tension between our desire to ride os/2 2's success if it is successful and our primary desire to see it fail If we could predict the future accurately these decisions woul;d be simple but here goes a proposal

1.In Japan, work with IBM on OS/2 2 as we discussed at the KK R&D retreat Outside Japan:

1. Do not do OS/2 2 pkgd product

2. For oem's be a pass thru distributor for os/2 2 do not do tech work Do not help IBM anticipate problems Just report OEm problems
3. Do not do OS/2 2 apps. that means do not help IBM compatibility test our current apps and for new apps if customers want apps remind them of IBM's promise to run windows apps better than windows Count on IBM to fail

4. Do not do OLE for windows

į,

5. Stop enagelizing OS/2 for the server now! Enagelize win 32 (150), 6. Plan on not doing LM for OS/2 2 but do not announce that Leave it open in case we need it

7. Finish our current OS/2 server apps for 1.3 then move to win 32 (new sql release, connectvity pieces etc, we will have separate meeting to discuss spitfire)

8. Do no OS/2 localization! Just apss thru the IBM pubs for os/2 2

9. Meet our customer commitments to os/2 2 developer support stop selling new sdk's do not plan to offer #900 support for 2.0 10. Do not take new IBM 1.3 CSD's Plan our own course driven off LM

for this product 11. Do not tell the world we will not support os/2 2 with apps etc leave i open as above in case IBM gets its act together this is similar to what we do today for Unix

12. Evaluate implications for WLO team
13. Allow Citirx to do PP os/2% for their use with rights to their work back to us an insurance policy Buy 10% Citary Emphasize 1.3

14. Do not help IBM with LS. Compete with this product and win. I do not think we will converge. IBM owes us one last convergence proposal But lest take this course now. Evaluate what to say wehn IBM release their 2.0 product I think we will want to position it They do not want to converge today they want to as still behind claim equivalent function

It has people ramifications should we deci pls do not discuss this broadly

CONFIDENTIAL

Plaintiff's Exhibit

7581

Comes V. Microsoft