
>From peteh Thu Mar 7 08:16:41 1991
To: jeffr
Subject: Strategic Threat--Lotus Office...
Date: Thu Mar 7 08:16:38 1991

>From richt Wed Mar 6 13:19:46 1991
To: hankv lewis1 peteh
Subject: Strategic Threat--Lotus Office...
Cc: chrisbro ruthann1
Date: Wed Mar 6 13:17:18 1991

J-h

Just had a conversation with Chrisbro that pretty much confirms a lot of what Hankv told me Lotus was going to do. Apparently, Chris has been working on a deal with Neilf to get all of Intel converted and Steveb just gave an SBT pitch where he said Notes was the greatest thing since sliced bread.

At Intel, we've been pitching the Office. Their exec staff is using our apps. Lotus just came in and said, "well, you love Notes and so does Msft and we can offer you the same office as MS. They're going to offer R3.1 (with /W upgrade), Ami, Freelance (with upgrade to /W) and Notes in one package deal. Intel says they'll evaluate.

Conclusion:

- Their office strategy is rolling out even now in our corporate accounts. For Scottsdale, it seems to me that we have to figure out a way to explain why switching to our apps is much better than upgrading to theirs. Unfortunately, I don't think that price is a big issue with accounts like Intel, so it has to be something like, "trust us, we know the future like OLE"--the problem is that I'm not sure we can deliver this in Cal92.

- We have to make sure not to be too "even handed" with Notes since this is the competitive difference for many people (it's a new enough app and it looks to me like the differences between our vs. their Win apps aren't great enough particularly if you factor in the i.b. of 1-2-3 and Freelance). Is there any way we can get Steveb/Billg, etc. to say nice things about Notes without having it come back to us like this. A suggestion would be to say that it offers some great features, but is a closed system (Chrisbro's suggestion--that is, you can buy pieces that are great like open SQL Server, Toolbook--maybe Thunder whenever it ships).

Thanks,
Rich

From paulma Thu Mar 7 14:29:40 1991
To: bradsi davec fredg jimall mikemap
Subject: official 486 clone
Cc: billg joem neile steveb
Date: Thu Mar 7 14:27:23 1991
Mail-Flags: 0000

MS 5047002
CONFIDENTIAL

Plaintiff's Exhibit

7557

Comes V. Microsoft

I met with Joem/Neile and agreed the following, which I propose we follow elsewhere:

1. Neile/Joem say that they already support the Northgate 486 machine, which has been extensively used in the NBU as a development machine, and in PSS. Neile says that the experience has been good. Based on this, I am going to convert orders for new 486's to Northgates, unless there is specific need for a Compaq.
2. MIS wants to qualify a second clone vendor, and is starting an effort to do this. I promised to give them a person to work with them on the selection of the vendor (most likely this will be russbl).

From billg Sat Mar 9 07:45:50 1991
To: jeremybu strategy
Subject: Accounting products
Cc: susanb
Date: Sat Mar 9 07:45:48 1991
Mail-Flags: 0000

Perhaps MikeMap should copy the strategy committee on the memo EBU did for Mikehal and I when we discussed whether they should go ahead with a low end accounting product. I would be glad to discuss the decision at the next strategy committee so we at least have your input on where we take it in the future.

Part of EBU's missions is to attract small business users to be Microsoft users - they decided a long time ago that they needed a family of products in order to do this. WORKS is our primary product. We are developing in house (3 developers) a competitor to Quicken - its quite simple and inadequate for most business uses. We are also developing a low end DTP product that will compete with FirstPublisher, Printshop and Personal Press but this is more for home entry users rather than business. Likewise the entertainment products EBU does are for home rather than business.

The accounting product we are licensing will be a subset of the Great Plains product it appears. Great Plains will provide the support with their personnel in North Dakota answering the line "Microsoft". Support for accounting products is charge for and profitable. No developers from Microsoft will work on this product. We believe LOTUS plans to offer some kind of accounting package to this market - but we are not sure and that is not an overwhelming reason to do so.

Our other small business product will be the low end networking product.

In retrospect we should discuss any new product thrusts in the strategy committee and we didnt in this case. I'll make sure we do that in the future. I still would like to discuss this one to help shape how far we go with it and whether we try and do anything with it outside the US.

I recently reraised the issue of doing a tax package but I think we will kill that unless there is a clear acquisition opportunity (macintax) because of the particular issues and the large number of things EBU is already doing.

MS 5047003
CONFIDENTIAL