

To:

Russ Werner, David Jaworski, Sharon Decker, Kevin Harrang, Charlotte Guyman, Doug Levin, John Schiemer, Martha Coppola, Mike Rhamy

From:

Lewis Levin July 10, 1991 Date:

Extended License Agreement for Microsoft Applications Program (XLA) Subj:

Please review carefully and be prepared to discuss at the XLA task force meeting. Wednesday, 7/10/91, 4:00 - 5:30, Room 8/2333.

MS-PCA 2599466

CONFIDENTIAL

This document addresses specific issues and provides detailed examples for applying Extended License Agreement in your accounts:

- what do the XLA terms and conditions mean in terms of what accounts can and should buy?
- what is the impact of concurrent usage on XLA?
- what is the effective cost to an account of our product under XLA?

Each of the purchase examples below uses the same basic price data:

	Extende	License	Target Percenta	ges		
			Pice prod.		MLP	
1	Target	SRP	Best quote	MLP	Best quote	Swap
Excel	60.0%	495	329	395	280	129
Word	60.0%	495	329	395	280	129
Office	60.0%	750	459	600	420	BNVA

In actual applications the account will obtain its own best quotes for packaged product and MLP from its choice of resellers.

Note that XLA licenses are not free licenses to us or to the account as a whole, even if some lucky department thinks of itself as receiving free licenses. All of the licenses acquired under the program cost 60% of the normal cost of a license. The 60% target is a significant purchase requirement for the account.

- 1. Purchasing Office with an installed base of Word and Excel.
- a. An account may count Excel and Word already purchased toward the 60% target for Office. Each pair of Word and Excel counts as one Office.
- b. If the account hits the 60% target for Office by counting Word and Excel towards the goal, the account still earns Office licenses for 100% of the machines, including Mail and PowerPoint. This is by design: it makes Office the most attractive part of the program. It means the account will likely find it attractive to buy Office for future machines.
- c. If an account has more of Word or Excel installed than the other, then the obvious strategy is to buy the app it has less of to match the other—and buy Office to get to the 60% target.

Here are two example calculations with costs:

Purchasing Office with equal installed base of Word and Excel

Installed base information

Installed Dase Intomizati	on	
CPUs:	1000	
Competitive SS base:	0	
Competitive WP base:	0	Current %
Excel Base:	200	20.0%
Word Base:	200	20.0%
Office Base:	0	20.0%
Product purchase data		
Excel:		
Word:		
Office:	Y	
Desired MLP %:	0%	

Additional purchases required and effective

east [SWAP	Fuli	MLP	Total	XLA Licenses	Purchase cost/cpu	inst. Base cost/cpu
Excel-units							
cost							\$329.00
Word-units							
cost							\$329.00
Office-units		400		400	400		
cost	;	\$183,600		\$183,600		\$229.50	\$229.50
Total licenses		400		400	400		
Total Purchase cost		\$183,600		\$183,600		\$229.50	\$315,20

Final Position				iditional rchases			
		Base	Swep	Full	MLP	XLA .	Total
	Excel	200					
	Word	200					l
	'Office		•	400		400	1,000

Notes: (these apply to all of the tables)

- Purchase cost/cpu is the effective cost per cpu for the additional antiware the account buys to make the target including the granted licenses.
- Installed base cost/cpu is the effective cost per cpu for all of the machines and all of the software including the Microsoft products the account had before they began the program.
- To compare two scenarios in this document you should only compare the installed base cost/cpu in the last row.

Purchasing Office with unequal installed base of Word and Excel

Installed base information

CPUs: 1000
Competitive SS base: 0
Competitive WP base: 0
Excel Base: 200 20.0%
Word Base: 400 40.0%
Office Base: 0 20.0%

Product purchase data

Excel:
Word:
Office:
Y
Desired MLP %:
0%

Additional purchases required and effective

cost:

	SWAP	Full	MLP	Total	XLA Licenses	Purchase cost/cpu	Inst. Base cost/cpu
Excel—units cost Word—units		200 \$65,800		200 \$65,800		\$329.00	\$329.00
cost Office—units		200		200	400		\$329.00

MS-PCA 2599468

1	cost	\$91,800	\$91,800		\$153.00	\$153.00
	Total licenses	400	400	400		
	Total Purchase cost		\$157,600		\$262.67	\$355.00

Final Position

1			ditional rchases			
•	Base	Swep	Full	MLP	XLA_	Total
Excel	200		200			-
Word	400			1		1
Office			200		400	1,000

Why is the total cost per cpu higher for this case than the preceding case? Because in this case the account has purchased more units of individual product (or you could say thay started buying Office later in the game). It was still cheaper for this account to buy 200 Excel and then buy 200 Offices than to buy 400 Offices.

- 2. Purchasing competitive upgrades to meet the target.
- a. An account may purchase competitive upgrades, up to the number of competing products it has, to move towards the target. If the account does not reach the target with competitive upgrades then it must buy full packaged product or MLP to reach the target.
- b. An account may purchase competitive upgrades of both Excel and Word, up to the number of competing products it has, to move towards the target for Office. The account could buy any number of competitive upgrades in any case. When the account runs out of qualifying competitive products, Office becomes the most cost effective way to purchase licenses.
- c. Using competitive swap to satisfy part of the purchase target makes the effective cost per system very low. You should use this as your technique to sell in lots of competitive upgrades during a time period when Lotus and WordPerfect will be aggressively pushing upgrades.

Purchasing Excel with competitive upgrades

Installed base information

Ilipianed base andillam	<u> </u>	
CPUs:	1000	
Competitive SS base:	300	
Competitive WP base:	0	Current %
Excel Base:	0	0.0%
Word Base:	0	0.0%
Office Base:	0	0.0%
Product purchase data		
Excel:	Y	
Word:	1	
Office:		
Desired MLP %:	0%	
Addional aumbress		

Additional purchases required and effective cost:

	SWAP	Full	MLP	Total	XLA Licenses	Purchase cost/cpu	Inst. Base cost/cpu
Excel-units cost Word-units cost Office-units	300 \$38,700	300 \$98,700		600 \$137,400	400	\$137.40	\$137.40

MS-PCA 2599469

CONFIDENTIAL

cost							
Total ilcenses	300	300		600	400		
Total Purchase cost		\$98,700		\$137,400		\$137.40	\$137.40
Final Position			Additional				
[Base	Swap	Purchases Full	MLP	XLA	Total	
Excel		300	300		400	1,000	
Word	- 1			l		i	
Office	ļ			Í			

Purchasing Office with Word/Excel competitive upgrades

Installed base information

CPUs: 1000 Competitive SS bese: 300 Competitive WP base: 300 Current % **Excel Base:** 0 0.0% Word Base: 0 0.0% Office Base: 0 0.0%

Product purchase data

Excel:
Word:
Office: Y
Desired MLP %: 0%

Additional purchases required and effective

COST

	SWAP	Full	MLP	Total.	XLA Licenses	Purchase cost/cpu	Inst. Base cost/cpu
Excel-units	300			300			····
cost	\$38,700			\$38,700		\$129.00	\$129.00
Word-units	300			300			÷ ,05.55
cost	\$38,700			\$38,700		\$129.00	\$129.00
Office-units		300		300	400		0.23.55
cost		\$137,700		\$137,700		\$196.71	\$196,71
Total licenses	600	300		900	400		
Total Purchase cost		\$137,700		\$215,100	400	\$215,10	\$215.10

Final Position	

			iditional rchases			
	Base	Swap	Full	MLP	XLA	Total
Excel		300				
Word	1	300		- 1		
Office	<u> </u>		300		400	1,000

- 3. Mixing Macintoshes and Windows capable machines.
- An account may combine the Macs and Windows machines at a site to make the 500 cpu minimum.
 If an account does this, it must count all of both kinds of machines at the site.

MS-PCA 2599470

- b. The account may count both our Macintosh and Windows applications to move towards the target. It is possible that an account with a very high percentage of our Macintosh applications at a mixed site could be granted licenses for a portion of its Windows capable machines.
- c. The granted licenses are specific to a given version of our product. A granted license can't be moved back and forth between environments. However, an account may request a specific mix of granted licenses. The number of granted licenses can't exceed the number of actual machines installed (either Mac or Windows). This is the principle that we don't grant licenses for machines that don't exist.

Current %

45.0%

500 Macs and 500 PCs

45.0% Assume this is all Mac software

45.0% Assume this is all Mac software

Combining Macs and PCs to buy Office for Windows

Installed base

information

CPUs: 1000
Competitive SS base: 0
Competitive WP base: 0

Excel Base: 450
Word Base: 450
Office Base: 0

Product purchase data Excel: Word:

Office: Y
Desired MLP %: 0%

Additional purchases required and effective

met

	SWAP	Full	MLP	Total	XLA Licenses	Purchase cost/cpu	inst. Base cost/cpu
Excel-units							
cost	_						\$259.00
Word-units							
cost							\$259.00
Office—units		150		150	400		
cost		\$68,850		\$68,850		\$125.18	\$125.18
Total licenses		150		150	400	···	1.1
Total Purchase cost		\$68,850		\$68,850		\$125.18	\$301.95

Final Position	Γ		Additional Purchases			······································	
	1	Base	Swap	Full	MLP	XLA	Total
	Excel	450		****			
	Word	450			ŀ		
	Office		·	150		400	1,000

Note: "Best quote" price for Mac Excel and Mac Word was assumed to be \$259 in this example.

4. Concurrent Usage and XLA.

a. We do not restrict an account from applying the concurrent usage provision of our license while they are participating in the XLA program. Purchased licenses and XLA licenses are identical in terms of concurrent usage. Why is this not a buge problem?

MS-PCA 2599471

CONFIDENTIAL

- b. An account that has agreed to the program still has to meet the purchase target for the life of the agreement. Within the purchasing site, the account already has licenses for 100% of the machines so it doesn't need concurrent usage. The account made the decision that XLA is more attractive.
- c. The account can't participate in XLA for one site and let another site access the software off the network. XLA is very simple on the point: the account can only use the licenses at the purchasing site. Another way of putting this is that if the account does allow another part of the company that was not originally part of the purchasing site to use the software, then the account is effectively expanding the site-and must count 100% of the machines and make the 60% target for all of the
- d. After the end of the agreement the account owns all of the licenses and may apply the concurrent usage provision of our license.
- c. And now for the crux of the issue: why would an account choose XLA anyway, if it could "go concurrent" instead? Concurrent usage has several specific limitations:
 - Most workstations need to be networked. True at Microsoft; true at some accounts, but not universal.
 - If percentage of users is high, network performance will be affected.
 - Frequent users may need their own licenses because load time may be objectionable. (Note: If a user keeps a copy on his own hard disk, he needs his own license. If he keeps the application iconized on his Windows desktop then he counts as a concurrent user even while the application is idle.)
 - The account still needs to stay legal with a positive means of verifying that it has sufficient licenses for peak concurrent usage.

Concurrent usage is probably not a viable pricing mechanism for most accounts. It is a reasonable way to provide access to the software over the network for a group of infrequent users. The account would probably not have purchased software for most of these users in any case.

- f. You don't really need to sell against concurrency—they have it anyway. You can sell for XLA:
 - XLA provides an easy way to be legal.
 - XLA covers both networked and stand-alone workstations.
 - XLA can be applied across an organization and multiple physical sites.

20.0%

XLA does reduce the per system cost of the software.

5. Purchasing MLP to reach purchase target

a. An account may meet the purchase target using any combination of full packaged product, competitive upgrades, or MLP: whatever is most convenient or cost effective for the account. Note that the examples in this document calculate the minimum cost approach (for given percentage of MLPs the account will buy). It is probably not realistic that all accounts will reach the absolute minimum cost possible.

Purchasing Office MLP with installed base of Word and Excel Installed base information

CPUs: 1000 Competitive SS base: 0 Competitive WP base: n Current % Excel Base: 200 20.0% 20.0%

Word Base: 200 Office Base: 0 Product purchase data

Excel: Word: Office:

MS-PCA 2599472

CONFIDENTIAL.

Desired MLP %: 100%

Additional purchases required and effective

cost.	SWAP	Full	MLP	Total	XLA Licenses	Purchase cost/cpu	Inst. Base cost/cpu
Excel-units							
cost							\$280.00
Word-units							
cost							\$280.00
Office-units			400	400	400		
cost			\$168,000	\$168,000		\$210.00	\$210.00
Total licenses			400	400	400		
Total Purchase cost			\$168,000	\$168,000		\$210.00	\$280.00

Final Position

			lditional rchases			
	Base	Swap	Full	MLP	XLA	Total
Excel	200					
Word	200			ı		İ
Office				400	400	1,000