Erik Stevenson

From: Brad Chase
To: bradc; tonya; waggedlor.wagged.comlpamk
Cc: bobkr; bradsi; johnlu; jonro; Brente; msdospr; rogersw
Subject: RE: PC Magazine DOS review
Date: Tuesday, November 23, 1993 3:21PM

this is a very big win. Nice work. Kudos to everyone on the PR team
and product team. We now have a much better chance to excel in the
ms-dos comparitive and have wfw beat personal netware.

From: <PAMK@or.wagged.com>
To: <microsoftlbradc>; <microsoftltonya>
Cc: <microsoftlBrente>; <microsoftlmsdospr>; <microsoftlrogersw>
Subject: PC Magazine DOS review
Date: Tuesday, November 23, 1993 12:58PM

Just got off the phone with Jay Munro— lead test guy for DOS comparative
review. They are finalizing their testing of PC-DOS and MS-DOS today, but
are still waiting on Novell. According to Jay, Novell is still promising
final code by 12/1. He sounded skeptical that they would deliver on time.
Jay thinks that they will know by middle of next week whether or not the
review will slip— currently it's slated for issue #5.

After multiple discussions with both Jay and Rick Ayre, PC Mag has decided
to hold the networking discussion for the peer-to-peer comparative review—
now scheduled for issue #9. Jay said that there is a space limitation with
the DOS comparative and they don't feel they can adequately discuss
networking given the restrictions. They plan to mention that DR7 includes
networking capabilities, but they will not do any performance testing of
networking functionality. They will hold any mention of the workgroup
connection for the ptp comparative.

This is a big win. PR has spent a significant amount of time over the last
two months working with these guys to influence their treatment of
networking in the DOS comparative. Without an in-depth discussion of
networking features, DR7's perceived advantage over MS-DOS in the "bells and
whistles" category is significantly reduced.