

From bobmu Tue Jul 27 07:13:12 1993  
 X-MSMail-Message-ID: DD34B600  
 X-MSMail-Parent-message-ID: 470EA962  
 X-MSMail-Conversation-ID: A25615E6  
 From: Bob Muglia <bobmu@microsoft.com>  
 To: billmi, jonl, markcl, richt  
 Date: Tue, 27 Jul 93 06:32:03 TZ  
 Subject: RE: Undoc APIs in WinNT  
 Cc: doughe

To the best of my knowledge, yes. They know they are not supposed to use any undoc'd api's. We have specifically added Win32 APIs to support their requirements (just like we have to support other ISVs).

bob

From: Bill Miller  
 To: Bob Muglia; Jonathan Lazarus; Mark Cliggett; Richard Tong  
 Cc: Doug Henrich  
 Subject: RE: Undoc APIs in WinNT  
 Date: Monday, July 26, 1993 7:53AM

So, are we highly confident that MS apps call only published api's?

From: Mark Cliggett  
 To: Bill Miller; Bob Muglia; Jonathan Lazarus; Richard Tong  
 Cc: Doug Henrich  
 Subject: RE: Undoc APIs in WinNT  
 Date: Wednesday, July 21, 1993 8:31AM

There are several places where NT utilities call undocumented apis. perfmon, user manager are two that come to mind. I have a folder of mail I got back from nt developers when I sent mail saying we need to understand this. In some cases (perfmon) there are public apis available but the utility was written before they existed. In others (user manager) there are no public apis.

So, if the rule is that anything that utilities shipped with the system use has to be documented, we still have a problem. However, I asked Paul about this a while ago and he indicated he was much less worried about this than APIs that MS apps are using. I don't know of any such problems.

EXHIBIT  
13  
10/1/01  
Muglia

From: Bob Muglia  
 To: Bill Miller; Jonathan Lazarus; Mark Cliggett; Richard Tong  
 Cc: Doug Henrich  
 Subject: RE: Undoc APIs in WinNT  
 Date: Wednesday, July 21, 1993 7:29AM

As mark says, there are many APIs internal to NT which we have no plans to document. The real question which Dennis asks is - are any NT utilities or apps using these APIs. If so, we should document them. The only case of this which I was aware of was markl's resource monitor. Markcl, have we fixed this?

(at least in the version we ship)?

Are there any other instances we're aware of where NT utils call undocumented APIs?

bob

MS 5037327  
CONFIDENTIAL

MS5037327