

## Donna Poreda

From:

Brad Chase

To:

bradsi

Subject:

RE: IBM Value Point

Date:

Tuesday, June 01, 1993 11:55AM

great, thanks

From: Brad Silverberg To: Brad Chase

Subject: RE: IBM Value Point

Date: Tuesday, June 01, 1993 6:58AM

From steveb Tue Jun 1 00:04:05 1993 X-MSMail-Message-ID: 0C396806 X-MSMail-Conversation-ID: 0C396806

X-MSMail-WiseRemark: Microsoft Mail — 3.0.623 From: Steve Ballmer < steveb@microsoft.com>

To: billg bradsi

Date: Sun, 30 May 93 13:31:36 PDT

Subject: RE: IBM Value Point

I will send some mail today to joachim. HE knows we really want the

VP

deal but I will remind him

From: Brad Silverberg To: Bill Gates; Steve Ballmer Subject: FW: IBM Value Point

Date: Friday, May 28, 1993 5:33PM

fyi. steve, i hope you can express to joachim how badly we need to get this

deal done. yes, he has ulled some unbelievable deals out of the hat in the

past but this is one we really need to land, and i share brade's concern

that in our desire to get the best possible deal, we are letting valuable  $% \left\{ \left( 1\right) \right\} =\left\{ \left( 1\right$ 

time go by and may lose the deal in the process.

From: Brad Chase To: joachimk

Cc: bradc; bradsi; jeffl; paulma; tonya Subject: IBM Value Point

Date: Wednesday, May 26, 1993 9:51AM

I got a report from my folks who went to meet with them in boca and ny with peter and dave.

I have also read Davewr's trip report

There are a number of issues I am concerned about. I'd like us to

meet

to discuss:

- I respect your goal to get fair compensation/royalty from IBM Value Point to do this deal. However, billg has made his position very

clear

on this. We must get this business, regardless of price. I realize we are early in the negotiations but I am concerned that our desire to

get

money is slowing down getting the deal signed. For example, the Value Point folks expressed that one of their major concerns about going

with

us is that, b/c it would kill PSP and end MS-DOS competition, we would then overcharge them on MS-DOS 7. Apparently we did not do anything

to

allay their concerns. All we said was essentially "we will give you a fair price based on volume". They are willing to pay, which is good

but

be

6

this is a main concern for them that we need to better address.

IBM does not want to pay per processor for MS-DOS 7. They want the
per processor price at a per system deal. Again instead of
accomodating or even trying to make them feel better we gave them the
standard "you get a better price for per processor that saves you
money

in the end."

- We all know that getting the number of IBM machines shipped would

awesome data. But the people in boca and sommers (product and marketing people) are BOTH unhappy with our strigent reporting requirements. I see no reason to create customer disatisfaction on this. Lets just remove this as an issue

- At least some loud voice in IBM Europe is lobbying for the IBM DOS product. We need to figure out how this is and get our best people to talk to them right away. i will send someone to europe if necessary.

- Finally I am not sure what exactly the next steps are to get this deal done quickly while we have a bigger advantage. We seem to be doing a lot of talking and making progress but what do we do to get this done. how can we help?

The product group is committed to meeting IBM's CSD needs. we expressed this strongly. we may need to work out some details but

this

should not be an issue. in fact, i have some ideas on how we can turn this into an advanatage in terms of a service we provide all big oems.