

To: winwar
Subject: 286
Date: Mon Dec 2 22:36:29 1991

: 20434 512/Comments, etc.
30-Nov-91 10:38:12
Sb: Build 58 is RAM-hungry !
Fm: Tanj Bennett 70642,1211
To: sysop (X)

I fired up build 58 on a 286 and noticed that it eats a lot more RAM than 3.0 used to. 3.0 gave around 1.5M available memory on a 2M machine, while build 58 is down to 1.07M. How representative is this of the final shipping version ? Your docs indicate this build is supposed to be a trial run at the real thing.

I'm suprised to see a 400kb hit, roughly 100% growth when you consider DOS accounts for some of the memory. What features of 3.1 caused the growth in RAM usage ?

220
From bradsi Tue Dec 3 10:25:49 1991
To: donc winwar
Cc: bobgu bobt jont timbr
Subject: Re: Borland and Toolhelp
Date: Tue, 03 Dec 91 10:25:55 PST

if we break their apps when we install, it will serve them right. i guess they took the approach of shoot first, explain later.

221
From philba Tue Dec 3 10:28:29 1991
To: bradsi tomle
Cc: macka
Subject: Re: slick
Date: Tue, 03 Dec 91 10:25:26 PST

Current plan shows development in Jan/Feb, testing in Feb/Mar and beta test in Mar/Apr so its got to be available before mid feb for the beta test.

Davebe is looking into the resource issue now. I told him that he can evaluate the schedule and tell me what makes sense. It may make sense to add another body and do some preliminary work for 6.0 to be more efficient.

Diversion or intermediate point? Probably a little of both.

The objective of msdos/windows merge is to combine win 3.1 and msdos 5.0(b?) into a single package with a seamless install. I believe that there will be a fair amount of code that could be reused and certainly the team will learn a lot that will transfer to 6.0. Come on up and I'll be glad to further expound on these topics.

>From tomle Tue Dec 3 08:26:40 1991
To: bradsi philba
Subject: Re: slick
Date: Wed, 11 Dec 91 08:27:46 PST

Eric is evaluating one for me but I don't feel we have our hands on a great one yet. I really need to be clear on what the expectations for slick completion is. Depending on the time frame I am not as confident that slick is a technology on the path to MS-Dos 6 as much as it is a diversion. Phil, can you help me understand what the objectives for your project are?

Tom

>From bradsi Mon Dec 2 20:53:54 1991
To: philba
Subject: slick
Cc: tomle
Date: Mon Dec 2 20:53:40 1991

MS-PCA 1112804
CONFIDENTIAL

MSC 00730288

HIGHLY
CONFIDENTIAL

EXH 60 DATE 5/17/02
WITNESS Barrett
MARY W. MILLER

DEFENDANT'S
EXHIBIT
5614