From joachimk Mon Apr 22 10:48:52 1991 To: turna Co: billig bradsi jeffl joml mikehal paulma richardf roch steveh timb Subject. FW: TSM briefing Date: Mon Apr 22 10:30:14 1991

OCD MAIL TONY, PLS SEE MY CLIMENTS:
I SUPPORT THAT WE PUT A TASK FORCE TOGETHER TO COUNTER THEIR EFFORTS.
INCLUDE ME FROM THE CEN SIDE. REFORE WE HAVE A CONCRETE PLAN WE SWOLLD KEEP
THESE THOUFGHIS TO CUESTIVES!
>Prom tonya Sat Apr 20 14:41:34 1991
To: billy brack! joachimk jonl mikehal paulma staveb

Co: bobmu tonya Subject: IBM briefing

Date: Sat Apr 20 13:47:08 PDF 1991

by now most, if not all of you, have received summaries about the briefings so i won't cower this. If you want more info let me know, i will add a few other comments. IBN, basically jim c and joe g, were as nude as i've ever seen them toward MS.

they gave absoluteity no credit for MS' work on cruiser taking all the credit for themselves for this great new product. they took outtrol of the product and are now solely reponsible for its development, the slides talked about primary responsibility but jim and joe made it clear IBM was going it alone. I could go on, the point is, it is now underliably clear that we have a major competitor in the OS business, this will have at least 2 major impacts on our business; (1) we need to rapidly build our support organization, even more quickly than we plan to. this is how IBM vill best us in the large accounts, they are already using this against us. this will be expensive, but I feel we need to do it.

We are actually in much retter shape than we were 12 month ago but you are right it might not be exprush.

(2) our revs/margins in the GEM buz will decrease.

CECREASE IN MAPSIN CHLY IF PROPIE VOIE TO BUY RETAIL OS/2 FROM TEM WHICH I DOUBL. ALL KEY CEMAS ARE COMMITTED TO DOS 5.0 AND WIN 3.x FCR THE NEXT 2-3 YEARS. MOST OF THEM FER PROCESSOR OF at least PER SYSTEM. THIS WILL GIVE US TIME TO RESPOND THEMSOLING.

these are some thoughts from the flight home.

1) Clearly communicate our OS strategy and specifically tell OPMs, ISVs and customers what they should do. IEM's positioning of OS/2 2.0 as "better windows than windows" should make it clear...write for windows, we need to tell them our position about 2.0. ISVs were confused after yesterday.

We have to be very clear with these people or we loss. IBM didn't aggressively push FM at the briefing, they have a tough line to walk and they know it. they WHLL try to get people to write FM 16 apps today and 32 bit tomorrow, joe g talked about conversion centers where the ISVs can come to work with IEM technical people to convert their dos/win apps. We need to send out our message scon.

IF HE CAN HELP ISVS BETTER THAN IEN IT WILL MAKE ALL THE DIFFERENCE!

2) We need to decide how to position OS/2 2.0 vs windows. IBM made a very compelling case for 2.0. We can argue nothing has changed but it has, the price has dropped significantly and they are offering it as a no lose proposition since it has everything you are corrently using and more. We hnow that this product is not what itm is touting it as but the rest of the world doesn't and they are buying IBM's line, this may change once they actually get the product and start playing with it but we may lose a lot of people in the meantime. I don't think we need to worry about IBM doing a deal with IR DOS.

REDACTED BY AGREEMENT

REDACTED BY AGREEMENT



REDACTED BY AGREEMENT

MS 5001627 CONFIDENTIAL

## REDACTED BY AGREEMENT Comes v. Microsoft

IF LEW PAYS \$39 TO US THIS CAN'T HE DONE ATTRACTIVELY. ON THEIR OWN SYSTEMS. THEY WILL SHOOT THEMSELVES IN THE FOOT

The said they were interested in pursuing this and any other ideas they had, this wasn't the whos who of ISVs but there was fairly good representation. I saw WP, AT, versant, ventura, spinnaker and cadre (1 will get a more complete list from viktorg). There were alot of toos I hadn't heard of especially AD/Cycle give. We shouldn't underestimate IBM's ability to win the hearts and minds of these guys with their FUD. IBm will lie to these guys. They did yesterday.

REDACTED BY AGREEMENT

modé. both bohmu and i had asked tommy about this and he definitely said they didn't have the win 3.1 DEMI code working yet. he was sitting with lee when the question was asked.

- 3) we should shut royc down immediately in a discrete way so we can use him to the extent he can be effective. But we should give nim only info we absolutely have to and he shouldn't be involved in any of our key projects.
- 4) We should really push to have small swat teams to help ISVs do 32 bit win apps to counter IEM's PM offensive. We need to get as much info out on the Win 32 spec as possible to ISVs and customers. IEM is really painting this is paperware and 2.0 as here and now.
- 5) we should discredit thm's ability to do PC CSs. they are using their experience in mainframe/mini would as a real plus. We have to be careful how we do this but we should get the "facts" to our people in the field and at HQ who meet with customers, OEMs and ISVs.

LET A JOURGALIST WRITE AN ARTICLE HOW THMEY HAVE FAILED OVER THE YEARS TO DELIVER ON THME AT RIGHT PERFORMANCE. LET THEIR CUSPOMERS ORDER ON THMER PAST PERFORMANCE. THIS IS EASILY DONE!

- 5) we really need to build our support organization more rapidly. in the past the model has been that MS develops the product and IBM distributes and supports it with their customers, this model is broken, customers want to know how MS will support them, one fairly radical thought I had was to acquire someone like andersen consulting. I know there are altural differences and other problems out thought I would throw it out, alternatively we could expand the relationship we already have with them to broaden the services we can offer customers and heighten their perception of us as a service provider, this is one of IBM's trump cards and we have to respond to it, bobmc probably has other interesting ideas along this line.
- 7) We need a spekesperson for our os strategy that can go head to head with joe g. he is single mindedly focused on making OS/Z succeed, in the process i really think he wants to take MS down a few notches. We need screene with this same level of attention. billig and steveb need to stay focused on the development of our products. bohnu and I think mikehal makes the most sense, he would work closely with russw and jonl but he would be the spokesman, his experience and credability with large comporates will help immensely, this will be crucial up to and even after the time we get NT out at least for awhile.
- 8) we need to aggressively pursua cems with dos/windows bundle deals as a preemptive strike against ibm.

## THIS REMAINS OUR MAIN COAL!

we obviously need to carefully think thru the pricing, if they have per system deals with us on windows now they will be hard pressed to do deals with ibm on 2.0. ibm WILL bundle on their systems and they WILL try to get oems to bundle. this will be painful to us but we at least have the opportunity to recomp some of the lost revs thru win apps sales, ibm doesn't, at least today. ibm will have to pay us \$39/system if they bundle OS/2 with windows on OEMs systems (6% + 20% of SEP) and \$9 on their systems. this is whether or not we have delivered WABCC. I don't think they have figured this out yet based on the pricing they have supposedly offered compaq. We may want to consider a royalty free/cheap upgrade to 2.0 from win 3.0/3.1 if its as great as ibm says it is at running windows and the oems want it for their customers.

the free 2.0 upgrade.

9) We really have to hype the benefits of 3.1 to counter HEM's "better windows than windows" since they will be running 1.0 married up to the 3.1 DPMI code i'm not saying add more features because we really need to have this product ship as close to when they ship 2.0 as possible. I assume we will have some apps available to show off CHE fairly soom after we ship 3.1.

LET US PUT ON A ROADSHOW AND EXPLAIN TO OPHS WHAT IS COMING AND WHY THEY MADE THE RIGHT DECISION. WE CAN KEEP THEM EXCITED!

i will schedule a viswing of lee's damp and bohmu will parrate. I also plan to invite russw and systems product marketing people, pls feel free to invite anybody else from your groups you think appropriate. I will also have distributed to all of you the bandours from the meeting on monday am.

We should have a meeting to decide exactly how we want to proceed on some/all of these/other issues. i will start to get one scheduled on your calendars unless I hear differently from you.