PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

517

Comes v. Microsoft

Today I got an inquiry from jeffmo concerning Wang's interest in licensing your DOS 5 Upgrade technology (set-up, redirectors etc.). Jeff and I expect this inquiry from other OEMs who want to provide the best possible upgrade to their customers.

I have double-checked our policy on this and want to confirm that it is our current policy not to license the upgrade technology to our OEMs (IBM could be an exception as usual, but we currently have no plans to offer the technology to them either).

This info should be passed on to your teams.

I realize that this may lead to questions or issues. If so, please feel free to give me a ring.

Boad

From brade Mon Jan 14 14:52:56 1991 To: ronh sergiop Subject: Primisform and DRI Co: brade bradsi Date: Mon Jan 14 14:49:27 1991

Wherever possible, please make sure the communication between our groups is so smooth that I know about serious competitive threats before they happen. There may be that unique case where I can help....

I realize that may not have been the case here.

Brad

>From garype Mon Jan 14 14:33:38 1991 To: brade Subject: RE: Printsform and DRI

Date: Sat Jan 12 14:29:53 FDT 1991

The deat is done. We tried to renolve it but it was too late.

All of this happened ever Counter. The main problem was that

DRI effected great pricing (commit for 1 year per system) and
they had 5.0 and we DIDN'THI Our plan will be to work with

Printedurate competitors and really pash MS-DOS 5.0 and Windows.
but the fact remains that Printeform is market leader with 30%
of market share.

>From brade Mon Jan 14 13:08:40 1991
To: garype guilleme philw
Co: brade bradsi carlosbr daven felipero ronh sergiop
Subject: RE: Printaform and DRI

X 569676 CONFIDENTIAL

> MS-PCA 1162558 CONFIDENTIAL

Ex 352

Date: Mon Jan 14 13:06:28 1991

Wow, this is a real drag. Is the contract with DRI signed? If it will help, please use the DOS team as a resource before people like Printaform go with DRI. I will fly somone anywhere to help keep this from happenning.

If it is too late than how long is their commitment? DOS 5 will be a much better product and will take the world by storm. Printsform will be out of touch if they stick with Dr. DOS.

Suggest that you start planning a major dos 5 intro event in Mexico and find a way to involve your key oems (and let Printsforms know they can't participate.

In the meantime, lets us know how e can help. Sergio send them the rough info we have on Dr. DOS.

Brad

>From garype Mon Jan 14 10:55:51 1991 To: guilleme philw Cc: brade carlosbr daven felipero ronh Subject: RE: Printaform and DRI Date: Sat Jan 12 10:52:40 PDT 1991

These four OEMs will license both Windows and DOS... Guillermo, of the 4 oems, aren't they licensing just DOS 3.3 or 4.x77? What about being creative and getting a commitment for DOS 5 also? We could indicate that all will move to DOS 5 as soon as it is available...

Then we have 4 customers announcing MS-DOS 5.0 and Windows 3.0...

>From philw Mon Jan 14 10:22:26 1991 To: guilleme Co: brade carlosbr daven felipero garype Subject: RE: Printaform and DRI

Date: Sat Jan 05 10:14:10 1980

I'm giad to work with these four to meet the press. Unfortunately, I can't think of much of a "hook" to put the meetings in a positive light. We can't (I assume) talk about DOS5. I also have no hard facts or info about incompatibility, and don't want to work with the press on the basis of innuendo or rumor. Do all of the other four license Windows from us? If so, we can make the PR Windows focused.

Brad, Gary, if you have any input it would help. There's no magic in PR, and if we don't have any real story the press will figure this out.

>From guilleme Mon Jan 14 09:42:50 1991 To: philw

> X 569677 CONFIDENTIAL

> > MS-PCA 1162559 CONFIDENTIAL

Cr. bradch cartosbr daven felipero garype Subject: RE: Printaform and DRI

Date: Mon Jan 14 09:19:38 1991

Hi Phil, we do care about this loss, Printaform use to be our best customer because they ship a lot of computers in Mexico due to their very low price strategy, I think they have about 25% of the PC market in Mexico (that is a lot), besides nobody pays better than they do. Anyway the owner Jorge Espinosa Mireles is a person who is not committed with MS at all is a reknowned business man in Mexico that just think in terms of money allways. About your statements, I is correct, 2 is not true because so far I had understood that the day they start shipping DRI, they stop shipping MS, the "new" models are the same ones with a new number; and 3 is completely rigth we have reports about incompatibility problems between Windows-DRI. What we would really like to do is a press campaign with 4 OEMs that are committed and will start shipping Windows very soon, that will be good for them and will enhance that Printsform does not have Windows.

Guillermo.

>From philw Sun Jan 13 13:46:27 1991 To: bradch garype

C:: Guilleme carlosbr daven felipere Subject: RE: Printaform and DRI

Date: Fri Jan 04 13:23:47 1980

We have an account here in Mexico, Printaform, who has decided to go with DR DOS rather than MS DOS for some models it will ship this spring. I believe that you (Gary) have been involved in this account.

Daven has asked me to do some proactive PR to minimize the adverse effect of this development. I'm a bit at a loss about what to say. I can talk to the press about the following:

- Printaform chose to go with DR DOS purely for pricing reasons. DRI "gave away" DR DOS merely to have a reference account.
- Printaform still believes in DOS. This is shown by its continuing distribution of DOS on several of its machines.
- The real test of DR DOS is in the marketplace. It remains
 to be seen how well DR DOS works with users current applications.
 Users who buy products with DR DOS should understand that they
 are purchasing a low-price substitute for DOS and that there
 are no guarantees about comparibility.

All three statements seem pretty weak\defensive to me. I'm not even sure if #3 is true. Any better suggestions, wording, or more info that you can provide would be welcome.

Also, I could so some positive marketing, that is, advertise the virtues of "real" MS-DOS. I could perhaps do some coop with other OEM's. I would certainly promote any ad campaign we did to the press.

X 569678 CONFIDENTIAL

> MS-PCA 1162560 CONFIDENTIAL

Unfortunately, I have no budget allocated for this type of thing. Do you have any money (say, \$100K) that I could use to mount a campaign? Do you care much about this loss to Printsform?

Any infolinsight/money that you can provide would be welcome. Thanks, Phil

>From daven Sat Jan 12 03:14:18 1991

To: philw

Guilleme felipero

Subject: RE: Printaform and DRI

Date: Fri Jan 11 21:10:45 1991

you're correct. No sence you spending time on the printsform account. I think the marketing issue though can use your attention to see if we head off a PR diaster with DRL Can do this without your involvement on the account...

From bradsi Mon Jan 14 14:57:57 1991 To: doswar

Subject: high memory

Date: Mon Jan 14 14:57:52 1991

After looking at the mem/c command, I'm quite concerned that we have a lot of confusion regarding terminology for high memory. We need one term that we use throughout and use it consistently. I'm talking about the space between 640K and 1M. The industry calls this space "high memory". So does the press. In the XMS spec, we call these blocks "UMB's", while HMA is "High Memory Area" or the first 64k above IMeg. Now, in the mem/c command, we're calling this space "Reserved Memory". Come on. This is very confusing. Pick a term and stick to it. We have already recognized that high memory is the standard term. Don't think so? Then why are our commands to load umb's called "devicehigh" and "loadhigh"??

From gaben Mon Jan 14 15:32:52 1991 To: winfonts Cc: bobenu bradsi elizal Subject: Font user interface Date: Mon Jan 14 15:30:11 1991

I was over at ElseWare today talking with them about Dumbo stuff, and they showed me a demo of a product they are finishing up to do Windows font managment. It was quite cool, and given JeanP's recent mail, it seems pretty timely as well.

X 569679 CONFIDENTIAL

MS-PCA 1162561 CONFIDENTIAL