

It may well make sense to go outside for this work. Several ISVs are working on, or already have; products that allow you to write 32-bit WinApps. They are not suitable for our purposes, however, because they don't use the PE .exe format, and they don't use the Win32 API set. The companies are Rational, Metaware, Phar Lap for sure, and I believe Watcom and Ergo also are in the running. It's a pretty well defined task, but if we're going to get hids we'd better be real clear on exactly what our acceptance criteria are.

Richard

From bradsi Thu Aug 15 16:58:43 1991

To: fredg gregw steveb

Subject: ome

Date: Thu, 15 Aug 91 16:58:39 PDT

I heard that at the recent OMG meeting, Borland made a motion to have OMG adopt the borland class libraries as the standard, and the motion wayn't even seconded! The guy who told me this said that he'd never seen a motion not seconded, and that people were pretty amused.

## \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

From bradsi Thu Aug 15 16:59:58 1991

To: w-crin w-maria Cc: lizsi richab richt

Subject: backgrounder

Date: Thu, 15 Aug 91 16:59:53 PDT

We recently decided to start referring to Windows as an operating system in our communications, not a graphical environment of user interface for dos. we should be consistent in the new usage, thanks.

## 

From bradsi The Aug 15 17:01:02 1991

To: davidcol limer

Subject: Re: Reg API change request from NT group

Date: Thu, 15 Aug 91 17:01:00 PDT

if the fix does not help win 3.1 at all, then we should let

X 207463 CONFIDENTIAL

PLAINTIFF'S **EXHIBIT** 433 No. 2:96CV645

MS-PCA

SUSAN ZIELIE