

EXHIBIT A.

Email from Kathleen P. Makowski dated January 23, 2009, including the email from Alan P. Petrofsky to which it responds.

Subject: Re: LR 5005-4 (electronic text requirement),
In re: The SCO Group, Inc., et al., 1:07-bk-11337, Bankr. D. Del.
Date: Fri, 23 Jan 2009 17:56:10 -0500
From: "Kathleen P. Makowski" <kmakowski@pszjlaw.com>
To: <al@scofacts.org>
Cc: <GRobson@bergersingerman.com>,
"Lynzy Oberholzer" <loberholzer@pszjlaw.com>

Mr. Petrofsky -

We will file a response. You may file a reply or not as you wish.
Please be sure to make arrangements to be heard at the hearing next
week. We will take this matter up with the Court at that time.

Kitty

----- Original Message -----

From: Alan P. Petrofsky, Equity Security Holder <al@scofacts.org>
To: Kathleen P. Makowski
Cc: Grace Robson, counsel for Debtors <GRobson@bergersingerman.com>;
Lynzy Oberholzer
Sent: Fri Jan 23 17:46:32 2009
Subject: Re: LR 5005-4 (electronic text requirement),
In re: The SCO Group, Inc., et al., 1:07-bk-11337, Bankr. D. Del.

Dear Ms. Makowski:

The procedure you propose is unacceptable, and any written objection
by the Debtors should have already been made, in writing, and filed
with the Court before the objection deadline, as specified on the
Notice of Motion that I served back on January 11.

Nevertheless, I hereby further extend the objection deadline to 4:00
P.M., Eastern Time, tomorrow, Saturday, January 24, 2009.

I already planned to have time this weekend to write a reply brief to
any timely objections. I will not have sufficient time to write a
reply to any untimely objection filed on Monday.

To quickly address two points:

1. I do not know why you say you "cannot actually refile the documents
on the docket". Why not simply login to ECF and refile the documents,

with a reference to the original docket number and with the added docket text "(additional copy, as a non-scanned PDF, filed to comply with LR 5005-4)"?

2. The alleged impracticability of filing proper monthly operating reports is contradicted by the original October 2007 reports, docket Nos. 226 and 227, in which only the signature pages were scanned. They contain all the same "complex tables" as the other reports, and the original word-processor or spreadsheet files did not contain any confidential metadata that the Debtors were unable to remove in the step of converting the files to PDF.

If you want me to modify the order to exempt signature pages, I would be willing to do so.

Yours truly,

Alan P. Petrofsky
Equity Security Holder of Debtor The SCO Group, Inc.
3618 Alameda Apt 5
Menlo Park CA 94025
Voice: +1 650 520 0626
Fax: +1 415 499 8385
Email: al@scofacts.org

Subject: RE: LR 5005-4 (electronic text requirement),
In re: The SCO Group, Inc., et al., 1:07-bk-11337, Bankr. D. Del.
Date: Fri, 23 Jan 2009 16:59:53 -0500
From: "Kathleen P. Makowski" <kmakowski@pszjlaw.com>
To: "Alan P. Petrofsky, Equity Security Holder" <al@scofacts.org>
Cc: "Grace Robson, counsel for Debtors" <GRobson@bergersingerman.com>,
"Lynzy Oberholzer" <loberholzer@pszjlaw.com>

Mr. Petrofsky -

It has come to my attention that we cannot actually refile the documents on the docket. However, we will send you the Plan, Disclosure Statement and Notice of Hearing on the Disclosure Statement in searchable PDF. Going forward, we will file any documents created at this office in searchable PDF.

With respect to the Monthly Operating Reports you have requested, we cannot file or send those to you in searchable PDF. We did not create those documents in this office - they are created by our financial advisor. In any event, those documents contain complex tables and may also contain metadata that we need to protect. If you would like us to send you the PDF copies that we received from our financial advisor (which are the same documents filed on the docket), please let me know.

If this procedure is unacceptable, please let me know and I will file an appropriate response to your motion.

Kitty

Kathleen P. Makowski
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19899-8705 (courier 19801)
Direct Dial: 302.778.6417
Tel: 302.652.4100 | Fax: 302.652.4400
kmakowski@pszjlaw.com
www.pszjlaw.com

Los Angeles | San Francisco | Wilmington, DE | New York

CONFIDENTIALITY

This e-mail message and any attachments thereto is intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail message, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message, and any attachments thereto is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify me by telephone and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any prints thereof.

NOT INTENDED AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR A WRITING

Notwithstanding the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act or the applicability of any other law of similar substance and effect, absent an express statement to the contrary hereinabove, this e-mail message, its contents, and any attachments hereto are not intended to represent an offer or acceptance to enter into a contract and are not otherwise

intended to bind the sender, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, any of its clients, or any other person or entity.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: In order to comply with requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Service, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Date: Thu, 22 Jan 2009 19:45:10 -0800
From: "Alan P. Petrofsky, Equity Security Holder" <al@scofacts.org>
To: "Kathleen P. Makowski, counsel for Debtors" <kmakowski@pszjlaw.com>
CC: "Grace Robson, counsel for Debtors" <GRobson@bergersingerman.com>
In-reply-to: <200901230003.nON03KOL017510@radish.petrofsky.org>
(al@scofacts.org)
Subject: Re: LR 5005-4 (electronic text requirement),
In re: The SCO Group, Inc., et al., 1:07-bk-11337, Bankr. D. Del.

Dear Ms. Makowski:

In appreciation for your efforts to address this issue, and just in case the Debtors may still wish to file a written objection and preserve their right to be heard on this motion (dkt #659), I hereby extend the objection deadline (per LR 9006-1(c)(ii)), to 4:00 P.M. Eastern Time tomorrow (Friday, January 23).

If the Debtors do not file a written objection before the extended deadline, and the Debtors also do not meet the terms of the offer in my previous email today (by filing the eight documents in proper form on or before Tuesday, January 27), then on Wednesday morning I will file a Certificate of No Objection requesting that the proposed order be entered "without further pleading or hearing" (LR 9013-1(j)).

Yours truly,

Alan P. Petrofsky
Equity Security Holder of Debtor The SCO Group, Inc.
3618 Alameda Apt 5

Menlo Park CA 94025
Voice: +1 650 520 0626
Fax: +1 415 499 8385
Email: al@scofacts.org

Date: Thu, 22 Jan 2009 16:03:20 -0800
From: "Alan P. Petrofsky, Equity Security Holder" <al@scofacts.org>
To: "Kathleen P. Makowski, counsel for Debtors" <kmakowski@pszjlaw.com>
CC: "Grace Robson, counsel for Debtors" <GRobson@bergersingerman.com>
Subject: LR 5005-4 (electronic text requirement),
In re: The SCO Group, Inc., et al., 1:07-bk-11337, Bankr. D. Del.

Dear Ms. Makowski:

Following up on our telephone conversation today regarding the "Motion of Petrofsky for an Order Enforcing Electronic Text Requirement" (dkt #659), here is a list of the seven documents that my proposed order would require the Debtors to re-file:

1. "Monthly Operating Report" for Filing Period October 2008 of SCO Operations, Inc., dkt #646, December 29, 2008.
2. "Monthly Operating Report" for Filing Period October 2008 of The SCO Group, Inc., dkt #647, December 29, 2008.
3. "Debtors' Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization", dkt #654, January 8, 2009.
4. "Disclosure Statement in Connection with Debtors' Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization", dkt #655, January 8, 2009. (main document only, not the exhibits)
5. Amended "Monthly Operating Report" for Filing Period October 2008 of SCO Operations, Inc., dkt #665, January 21, 2009.
6. "Monthly Operating Report" for Filing Period November 2008 of SCO Operations, Inc., dkt #666, January 21, 2009.
7. "Monthly Operating Report" for Filing Period November 2008 of The SCO Group, Inc, dkt #667, January 21, 2009.

There is one recent item that would not be subject to the order, but which I would also like to see refiled:

8. "Debtors' Motion for an Order (I) Scheduling Confirmation Hearing; ...", dkt #662, January 15, 2009. (only the main document and the proposed order (dkt #662-6), not the exhibits)

If, on or before Tuesday, January 27, you file PDF versions of these eight documents (including the proposed order attached to dkt #662) that have been directly electronically generated from the electronic originals (i.e., "printed to PDF", rather than printed out to paper and scanned back in), then I will withdraw my motion, as to the Debtors, based on: (1) the assurances you made on the phone today that the Debtors will routinely file non-scanned documents in the future; and (2) your demonstration of good faith by having rectified the situation as to these recent documents.

Thank you for making an effort to resolve this matter.

Yours truly,

Alan P. Petrofsky
Equity Security Holder of Debtor The SCO Group, Inc.
3618 Alameda Apt 5
Menlo Park CA 94025
Voice: +1 650 520 0626
Fax: +1 415 499 8385
Email: al@scofacts.org

Subject: SCO
Date: Thu, 22 Jan 2009 15:39:56 -0500
From: "Kathleen P. Makowski" <kmakowski@pszjlaw.com>
To: <al@scofacts.org>
Cc: "Grace Robson" <GRobson@bergersingerman.com>

Mr. Petrofsky -

We received your pleading regarding the local rule requirements. We are obviously happy to comply with any local rule and will always provide you with a copy of whatever docket item that is filed incorrectly.

We would prefer not to have to file a response to your motion or to have a hearing on this matter, but it is your motion. Please let me know if you intend to go forward with the hearing on your motion. Please let me know so that we may inform the court either way. I will also call the phone number on your pleading.

Kitty

Kathleen P. Makowski
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19899-8705 (courier 19801)
Direct Dial: 302.778.6417
Tel: 302.652.4100 | Fax: 302.652.4400
kmakowski@pszjlaw.com
www.pszjlaw.com <<http://www.pszjlaw.com/>>

Los Angeles | San Francisco | Wilmington, DE | New York