

Our applicant is supported by a 7 paragraph affidavit. Attached are two Exhibits. Exhibit A is the certificate of patent and exhibit A1 is the certificate of extension of design.

For the facts deposed, we are seeking the reliefs and order as stated in the affidavit to obtain certain crucial evidence from the 3rd and 4th defendant. The nature of this order is to preserve the status quo.

We cannot get the product off, the street that is why we are asking in this order to be able to pick the said product. We urge the court to give us the opportunity to get the hard core evidence.

I rely on (Cotoye & CBN (1989) 1 NWIR pt 98 page 419). 7up bottling Company Vs Abiola Sons (1995) 3 NWIR pt 383 page 257). We have a 10 paragraph affidavit of urgency. It is necessary for the res to be preserved and brought before the court.

We are praying the court for an order from further distributing this product pending the determination of the motion on notice. We rely in the case of (Akuwa Industries Ltd Vs Aymen enterprises (1999) 13 NWIR pt 633 page 68) Paragraph 88) Anthon pillar is accepted within our legal doctrine and juris prudence. The granting of this order should not exhaust the case itself

I beg to move.

Court – I have gone through the affidavit evidence before this court and also exhibit A & A1 of' the registration certificate and I find that the plaintiff, have a legal right that is necessary to protect at this stage.

P *ASJ* 24/12/07
S CHARLES MRS.
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
FOR CHIEF REGISTRAR
FEDERAL HIGH COURT
IKOYE, LAGOS