

EXHIBIT 30

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF UTAH COUNTY 2
STATE OF UTAH

--oo0oo--

NOVELL, INC., a Delaware
corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE CANOPY GROUP, INC., a
Utah corporation,

Defendant

Civil No. 000402011C
Division No.

DEPOSITION OF:
CRAIG CHRISTENSEN

--oo0oo--

COPY

Deposition of CRAIG CHRISTENSEN, taken on behalf
of Defendant, at the law offices of Jeffs & Jeffs, 90
North 100 East, Provo, Utah, on March 15th, 2002,
commencing at 10:00 a.m., before TIFFANY STEPHENS,
Certified Shorthand Reporter, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
Utah, pursuant to Notice.



333 SOUTH RIO GRANDE, SUITE F.
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84101
(801) 328-1188 / 1-800-DEPOMAX
FAX 328-1189



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR PLAINTIFF: Scott A. Call, Esq.
John P. Mullen, Esq.
ANDERSON & KARRENBERG
50 West Broadway
Suite 700
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-2006
(801) 534-1700

FOR DEFENDANT: Robert L Jeffs, Esq.
JEFFS & JEFFS
90 North 100 East
P.O. Box 888
Provo, Utah 84603
(801) 373-8848

I N D E X

WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
Craig Christensen	Mr. Jeffs	3
	Mr. Call	45
	Mr. Jeffs	48
	Mr. Call	49

1 for the transfer of the assets recited in that draft
2 of the agreement?

3 MR. CALL: Objection. The document speaks
4 for itself.

5 THE WITNESS: No, not based on Section 4.

6 BY MR. JEFFS:

7 Q. Okay. Now, the agreement -- the draft of
8 the agreement as of May 31st, '96 provides that there
9 are various assets that are being sold to Caldera
10 under the transaction; is that right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And what did you understand that the assets
13 would be that would be sold to Caldera under this
14 agreement?

15 A. Generally, the assets that comprised the DR
16 DOS business.

17 Q. Okay. Take a look at Paragraph 2.7. That
18 describes a definition of the term Transferred Assets;
19 is that right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And that describes them as DOS Products, the
22 Related Technology, the Transferred Marks, the
23 Documentation, and the Claims; is that right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the assets you're talking about

1 that would be transferred to Caldera?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Okay. And then right above that, 2.6,
4 Claims is defined; is that right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. And that specifically identifies
7 Claims relating to any violation of antitrust laws; is
8 that right?

9 A. That's what it says.

10 Q. What was your understanding that was being
11 referred to by that definition of the Claims section?

12 A. I don't remember the term antitrust being in
13 this document, but based on my understanding of the
14 transaction, that would relate to potential claims
15 against Microsoft.

16 Q. Okay. Did you have an understanding at the
17 time that the transaction was being negotiated that
18 part of what Caldera would be purchasing was a
19 potential claim that Novell had against Microsoft for
20 violation of the United States antitrust law?

21 A. My understanding was that, by virtue of
22 their ownership of these assets, they would have a
23 claim against Microsoft, yes.

24 Q. Okay. As of the point in time when this
25 draft would have been prepared back in May of '96, do