

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
Mark F. James (5295)
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 363-6363
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800
100 Southeast Second Street
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 539-8400
Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)
Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, New York 10504
Telephone: (914) 749-8200
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
401 East Las Olas Blvd.
Suite 1200
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Telephone: (954) 356-0011
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 340-2600
Facsimile: (612) 340-2868

Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,
Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

vs.

NOVELL, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,
Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**SCO'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO FILE ITS REPLY MEMORANDUM
IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF SCO'S
MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING
APPORTIONMENT OF THE
MICROSOFT AND SUN AGREEMENTS**

Civil No.: 2:04CV00139
Judge Dale A. Kimball
Magistrate Brooke C. Wells

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant The SCO Group (“SCO”) hereby moves the Court pursuant to District Court Rule 7-1(e) for an Order granting it leave to file its over-length Reply Memorandum in Further Support of SCO’s Motion in Limine Regarding Apportionment of the Microsoft and Sun Agreements (the “Apportionment Reply Memorandum”) that consists of 12 pages, exclusive of face sheet, tables of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

SCO’s Motion is made upon the grounds that the Opposition Memorandum of the indicated length is necessary given the complex nature of the issues raised by *Novell’s Opposition to SCO’s Motion in Limine Regarding Apportionment of Microsoft and Sun SCOSource Licenses Filed August 31, 2007* (the “Apportionment Opposition”) to the end that a Reply to Novell’s Apportionment Opposition of the same complexity was necessitated.

SCO has endeavored to be as concise as possible, but respectfully submits that the excess length is necessary to fully and fairly address the issues being placed before the Court.

SCO respectfully requests that it be allowed to file its Apportionment Reply Memorandum containing 12 pages, exclusive of face sheet, tables of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

DATED this 4th day of September, 2007.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch

Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

David Boies

Robert Silver

Stuart H. Singer

Stephen N. Zack

Edward Normand

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Devan V. Padmanabhan

Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Edward Normand

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on Defendant, Novell, Inc., on this 4th day of September, 2007, via CM/ECF to the following:

Thomas R. Karrenberg
John P. Mullen
Heather M. Sneddon
ANDERSON & KARRENBERG
700 Bank One Tower
50 West Broadway
Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Michael A. Jacobs
Matthew I. Kreeger
Kenneth W. Brakebill
MORRISON & FOERSTER
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-248

/s/ Edward Normand