FILED TEX, HI DISTRICT COURT

16 JUN 03 PM 2: 39

DISTRICT OF UTAH

BY: DEPUTY CLERK

Brent O. Hatch (5715) Mark F. James (5295) HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

David Boies (Pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street

Armonk, New York 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stephen N. Zack (Pro hac vice)
Mark J. Heise (Pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
100 Southeast Second Street
Suite 2800
Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 539-8400 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

CALDERA SYSTEMS, INC., d/b/a THE SCO GROUP,))) MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF) MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
Plaintiff,) AMENDED COMPLAINT
v.) Case No. 2:03cv0294
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,) Honorable Dale A. Kimball)
Defendant.	

Plaintiff, Caldera Systems, Inc., d/b/a The SCO Group ("SCO") submits the following Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Leave to File Complaint.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) provides that leave to amend "shall be freely given when justice so requires." This basic principle has been reinforced by numerous judicial pronouncements and courts generally look favorably on requests to amend. See 6 C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure § 1484 (1971).

The purpose underlying Rule 15(a) is to provide maximum opportunity for each claim to be decided on its merits rather than on procedural technicalities. See C. Wright & A. Miller, supra, at § 1471. Thus, courts have construed the rule liberally so as to further the interests of justice. Girard v. Appleby, 660 P.2d 245 (Utah 1983).

Consideration of these factors in the case at bar weighs in favor of the Court granting Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint. Plaintiff seeks to amend its Complaint to add claims against IBM for wrongful acts related to the underlying claims that occurred after the initial Complaint in this matter was filed. Plaintiff also seeks to simplify its pleading and to change the name of Plaintiff to reflect Plaintiff's recent legal change of name.

This case is in its nascent stages, and discovery has barely begun. Allowing Plaintiff to amend its Complaint to add new claims will not delay resolution of this case, require additional time for discovery, or prejudice Defendant in any way.

DATED this day of June, 2003.

Bv:

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP David Boies Stephen N. Zack

Mark J. Heise

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 6 day of June, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT was served was served on the following counsel of record as indicated below:

Alan L. Sullivan
Todd M. Shaughnessy
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
15 West South Temple
Gateway Tower West
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004
Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

(via facsimile)

(U.S. Mail)

Evan R. Chesler
Thomas G. Rafferty
David R. Marriott
CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
Worldwide Plaza
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10019

Nocleta L