- 43. That the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendants/Applicants have been greatly injured by the Interim Injunction granted to the Plaintiffs/Respondents as it has put a stop to the Galadima Project and future importation of the XO laptop into Nigeria.
- 44. That the Interim Injunction has closed down the public spirited One laptop per Child project in Nigeria and done SUBSTANTIAL INJUCTICE to the PUBLIC, CITIZENS, CHILDREN AND TEACHERS.
- 45. That the One laptop Per Child Project is a global project involving several countries in Africa including Ghana, Rwanda, Nigeria and in Latin America, Paraguay, Brazil, Peru, Mexico and in Asia; India and the injunction has brought the project into disrepute.
- 46. Contrary to 3(k) and (n) of the Plaintiffs/Respondents Affidavit in support of the Ex Parte Application for injunction, the Defendants /Applicants have never seen the Plaintiffs'/ Respondents' software and the physical layout is publicly available at http://www/konyin.com/.
- 47. Paragraph 3(f) of the Affidavit in support of the Motion Ex Parte for Interim Injunction is false .The 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant / Applicant has never seen the Konyin Keyboard and did not order or execute an end user licence agreement(EULA).
- 48. There is no risk of destruction of the Beta XO laptops as they are available in Nigeria.
- 49. There is no urgent situation for the Plaintiffs/Respondents to obtain an Interim Injunction.
- 50. The Plaintiffs/Respondents have been guilty of delay in applying for the order of injunction.
- 51. The Plaintiff/Respondents deliberately delayed the hearing of the Motion on Notice.
- 52. The injunction has caused irreparable damage to the Defendants/Applicants around the world and caused Nigeria to pull out of the One laptop per Child project.
- 53. That the Order of Injunction has not been served on the Defendants/Applicants.
- 54. That it is in the interest of justice that this application is granted.